

Investigation of spurious velocity overshoot using Monte Carlo data

T. Grasser,^{a)} H. Kosina, and S. Selberherr

Institute for Microelectronics, TU Vienna Gusshausstrasse 27-29, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

(Received 29 May 2001; accepted for publication 31 July 2001)

For the simulation of state-of-the-art devices, hydrodynamic and energy transport models account for nonlocal effects. Although these models have been available for several decades, there are still unresolved issues. One of these issues is the occurrence of spurious peaks in the velocity profile which are inherent to both Stratton's [Phys. Rev. **126**, 2002 (1962)] and Bløtekjær's [IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **17**, 38 (1970)] models. We investigate the origin of these peaks by introducing relaxation times, mobilities, and closure relations directly from a coupled Monte Carlo simulator. From these simulations, we conclude that the spurious peaks are a direct result of the truncation of the infinite moment series and the inaccuracies in the physical models. © 2001 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1405000]

In the traditional drift-diffusion (DD) approach, the carrier energy is assumed to be in equilibrium with the electric field. Therefore, the DD model cannot predict nonlocal effects which occur in modern devices. Specifically, the overshoot in velocity is important to capture. Monte Carlo (MC) simulations predict an overshoot in the velocity when the electric field increases rapidly. This velocity overshoot can be qualitatively captured by higher order moment based models which, however, tend to overestimate this effect. Interestingly, these models also predict a velocity overshoot when the electric field decreases rapidly, e.g., at the end of a channel in a metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) transistor. This velocity overshoot is not observed in MC simulations and thus termed spurious velocity overshoot (SVO). Although the influence of this effect on device characteristics is probably not very dramatic, we feel that a thorough investigation of its cause is indeed important for the basic understanding of moment based models.

There has been an ongoing discussion in the literature on the cause of this effect. One of the first speculations was a weakness in the energy-transport (ET) model which is based on Bløtekjær's hydrodynamic model.¹ ET models and energy-balance (EB) models based on Stratton's approach² are closely related,³ with the exception that the mobilities are defined in a different way. This leads to an additional driving term in the current relation of the EB model which is proportional to the spatial gradient of the mobility. This driving term can be reformulated and combined with the driving term of the temperature gradient yielding a modified prefactor of the temperature gradient. The modified prefactor is frequently modeled as a constant and adjusted in such a way that the SVO is minimized.⁴ It has already been pointed out that this approach is questionable,⁵ because the prefactor depends on the dominant scattering process which in turn depends on the doping and the applied voltages, and, therefore, no unique value can be found. Another important factor that has been attributed to SVO is the modeling of the mobilities which are not single valued functions of the energy.^{6,7} As

already argued in Refs. 5 and 8, SVO is not likely to be caused by the mobility, alone.

A characteristic feature of moment based models is that the infinite hierarchy of moment equations has to be truncated to yield a finite number of equations. The highest order equation now contains a next higher moment which has to be modeled as a function of the lower-order moments. Normally, a heated Maxwellian shape is assumed for the distribution function which has the property that the fourth-order moment $\langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle$ is proportional to the square of the average energy $\langle \varepsilon \rangle^2$. The accuracy of this relationship depends on the position in the device. To quantify the accuracy of this expression we define the kurtosis as⁹

$$\beta_n = \frac{3 \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle}{5 \langle \varepsilon \rangle^2}, \quad (1)$$

which equals unity for a Maxwellian distribution and parabolic bands. A plot of β_n inside an $n^+ - n - n^+$ test structure is given in Fig. 1 for a parabolic MC simulation. In the channel β_n is in the range [0.8, 1] and the error assumed by a Maxwellian closure is probably not too dramatic. However, when the hot-channel carriers meet the large pool of cold carriers in the drain, β_n reaches values above 2. Interestingly, this is exactly the region where the spurious velocity over-




FIG. 1. Fourth-order moment β_n inside an $n^+ - n - n^+$ test structure is shown.

^{a)}Electronic mail: grasser@iue.tuwien.ac.at




FIG. 2. Electron distribution functions inside an $n^+ - n - n^+$ test structure are shown.

shoot occurs. This is confirmed when we look at the distribution function at the points A, B, and C in Fig. 2. For the channel point A, the curvature of the distribution function is smooth, reflected by a small value of β_n , whereas between the points B and C, the contribution of the cold drain carriers increases while the high-energy tail relaxes slowly. Especially in these regions, the Maxwellian shape, which gives a straight line in a semilogarithmic plot, is definitely a rather poor approximation.

To eliminate as many uncertainties as possible, we use a parabolic ET model because nonparabolicity effects can only be approximately captured in the driving terms.¹⁰ Furthermore, we assume the validity of the diffusion approximation which allows one to write the tensors as scalars and to neglect the contribution of the kinetic energy to the average carrier energy.⁹ These approximations are very common and will be re-examined later. In addition, we restrict ourselves to the one-dimensional case, mainly because SVO is present in one-dimensional simulations and two-dimensional effects like real-space transfer further complicate any discussion. To remove the uncertainties in the relaxation time and mobility models, we coupled our device simulator MINIMOS-NT to a MC simulator in a self-consistent manner where the potential distribution for the MC simulation was taken from the device simulator and the relaxation times for the device simulator from the last MC solution. A large number of scattering events had to be processed to achieve smooth relaxation times with a small variance to obtain convergence within the moments models. In addition to the ET model, we use our six moments model⁹ (SM) for comparison which retains β_n as a solution variable and introduces a closure for $\langle \varepsilon^3 \rangle$. Due to the existence of two carrier populations, the empirical closure we employed for $\langle \varepsilon^3 \rangle$ is most critical in the very same region as the closure for the four moments ET model.⁹

A comparison of simulated velocity profiles obtained from the ET and SM models with MC results is given in Fig. 3 which confirms that SVO is not caused by the mobility alone, as the SVO spike is still observed using MC data for all relaxation times. However, the SM model improves the closure of the equation system which may be the reason for the reduced spike observed within the SM model.

To further investigate this effect, another set of simulations was performed using β_n from the MC simulation to




FIG. 3. Velocity profiles with MC relaxation times for the two transport models are shown.

close the ET model, thus resulting in a correct closure of the four moments model. Furthermore, in one simulation, the MC relaxation times were replaced by standard models, namely a constant energy relaxation time (0.33 ps), a simplified Hänsch mobility model⁷

$$\mu(T_n) = \frac{\mu_0}{1 + a(T_n - T_L)}, \quad (2)$$

and a constant mobility ratio $\mu_S/\mu = 0.8$ where μ_S is the mobility of the energy flux S_n^9

$$S_n = -\frac{5}{2} \frac{k_B^2}{q} \frac{\mu_S}{\mu} \mu \left(\nabla(nT_n^2\beta_n) + \frac{q}{k_B} EnT_n \right). \quad (3)$$

A comparison of these simulations with the MC values is given in Fig. 4. With the MC closure *and* the MC relaxation times, the spike is completely removed whereas with the standard models it is quite pronounced, despite the correct closure. This leads us to the conclusion that SVO is a result of *both* the closure *and* the hysteresis in the relaxation times and can probably never be completely eliminated using a finite number of moment equations. However, as can be seen in Fig. 3, the error introduced by the closure is reduced when the number of moments is increased from four to six.

Figure 4 serves as a good test for the approximations introduced in the derivation of the ET and SM model.




FIG. 4. Velocity profiles with MC relaxation times and closure are shown.

Mainly, by employing the diffusion approximation, terms of the form $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle \otimes \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$ are neglected against $\langle \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \rangle$, one of the consequences being that the drift kinetic energy $m^* \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^2 / 2$ is neglected against $k_B T_n$. This contribution is only relevant as long as the carrier temperature is low and the velocity is high which is at the beginning of the channel in the velocity overshoot region. Indeed, a very small overestimation of the carrier temperature is observed in this region (Fig. 4). This contribution will gain more importance when the channel length is reduced. Figure 4 stresses the importance of proper relaxation time and mobility models which influence the solution inside the whole device whereas the influence of the closure relation is strongest at the end of the channel and restricted to a relatively small region.

Spurious velocity overshoot has been attributed to several approximations introduced in the derivation of ET models. We investigated the two most dominant effects which are caused by inaccuracies in the mobility and relaxation time models and by the truncation of the infinite series of moments. It has been demonstrated that accurate models for the mobilities and relaxation times are of utmost importance to reproduce velocity profiles inside the device. However, even

with parameter values taken directly from MC simulations, the spurious velocity overshoot remains in the velocity profiles but can be reduced by increasing the number of moments. This leads us to the conclusion that SVO is a result of both the closure and the inaccuracies in the physical models and can probably never be completely eliminated using a finite number of moments.

¹K. Bløtekjær, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **17**, 38 (1970).

²R. Stratton, Phys. Rev. **126**, 2002 (1962).

³T.-W. Tang and H. Gan, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **47**, 1726 (2000).

⁴D. Chen, E. Sangiorgi, M. R. Pinto, E. C. Kan, U. Ravaioli, and R. W. Dutton, in *Proceedings of the NUPAD IV* (IEEE, Seattle, Washington, 1992), pp. 109–114.

⁵T.-W. Tang, S. Ramaswamy, and J. Nam, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **40**, 1469 (1993).

⁶G. Wolokin and J. Frey, in *Proc. NASECODE VIII* (James & James Science Publishers, Vienna, 1992), pp. 107–108.

⁷S.-C. Lee and T.-W. Tang, Solid-State Electron. **35**, 561 (1992).

⁸M. Stettler, M. Alam, and M. Lundstrom, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **40**, 733 (1993).

⁹T. Grasser, H. Kosina, M. Gritsch, and S. Selberherr, J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 2389 (2001).

¹⁰T. Bordelon, X.-L. Wang, C. Maziar, and A. Tasch, Solid-State Electron. **35**, 131 (1992).