Transistors based on two-dimensional materials for future integrated circuits

Saptarshi Das^{®1,2,3}[∞], Amritanand Sebastian^{®1}, Eric Pop^{®4,5}, Connor J. McClellan⁴, Aaron D. Franklin^{®6,7}, Tibor Grasser^{®8}, Theresia Knobloch^{®8}, Yury Illarionov^{®8,9}, Ashish V. Penumatcha^{®10}, Joerg Appenzeller^{®11}, Zhihong Chen^{®11}, Wenjuan Zhu¹², Inge Asselberghs¹³, Lain-Jong Li¹⁴, Uygar E. Avci¹⁰, Navakanta Bhat¹⁵, Thomas D. Anthopoulos^{®16} and Rajendra Singh¹⁷

Field-effect transistors based on two-dimensional (2D) materials have the potential to be used in very large-scale integration (VLSI) technology, but whether they can be used at the front end of line or at the back end of line through monolithic or heterogeneous integration remains to be determined. To achieve this, multiple challenges must be overcome, including reducing the contact resistance, developing stable and controllable doping schemes, advancing mobility engineering and improving high- κ dielectric integration. The large-area growth of uniform 2D layers is also required to ensure low defect density, low device-to-device variation and clean interfaces. Here we review the development of 2D field-effect transistors for use in future VLSI technologies. We consider the key performance indicators for aggressively scaled 2D transistors and discuss how these should be extracted and reported. We also highlight potential applications of 2D transistors in conventional micro/nanoelectronics, neuromorphic computing, advanced sensing, data storage and future interconnect technologies.

he scaling of silicon complementary metal-oxidesemiconductor (CMOS) technology has reached sub-10-nm technology nodes, but further scaling is increasingly challenging because the gate electrostatics of the devices demand an aggressive reduction in channel thickness to preserve the desired performance¹. The ultimate channel thickness for a field-effect transistor (FET) is potentially in the sub-1-nm range. However, this is not readily accessible for any three-dimensional (3D) semiconducting crystal because of increased scattering of charge carriers at the channel-to-dielectric interfaces, which leads to severe mobility degradation².

Two-dimensional (2D) semiconducting materials, which in monolayer form have a thickness of ~0.6 nm, could provide a solution. Such materials include transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) with the general formula MX_2 , where M is a transition metal (for example, Mo or W) and X is a chalcogen (for example, S, Se or Te)³⁻⁸. The absence of dangling bonds in the materials also offers the potential to achieve better channel-to-dielectric interfaces. Early studies based on mechanically exfoliated single-crystalline 2D flakes, and more recent developments based on large-area grown synthetic 2D monolayers, have illustrated the promising characteristics of 2D transistors. However, the multitude of challenges that remain to be solved makes the potential incorporation of 2D FETs in future very large-scale integration (VLSI) technologies far from clear.

In this Review, we explore the development of 2D FETs for future integrated circuits. We first consider the large-area growth of 2D channel materials and the fabrication of a 2D FET, as well as the extraction of key parameters for a comprehensive assessment of device performance. We emphasize the importance of studying the device-to-device variation, stability and reliability of the 2D FETs. We then assess the key challenges that must be addressed to achieve VLSI applications based on 2D FETs. These include reducing the contact resistance $R_{\rm C}$, achieving stable doping, advancing mobility engineering and improving the integration of high- κ dielectrics (where κ is the dielectric constant). Finally, we highlight potential applications of 2D FETs in digital and analogue electronics, memory, neuromorphic computing, sensing devices and interconnect technology.

Fundamentals of 2D material processing

Early demonstrations of 2D FETs were based on micromechanically exfoliated flakes⁹. Although the exfoliation technique lacks scalability and manufacturability, it enables rapid experimental screening of different 2D materials and serves as a testbed for device optimization and applications. It also helps to check the compatibility of 2D materials with standard processing techniques. However, for VLSI integration of 2D FETs, wafer-scale synthesis is unavoidable and chemical vapour deposition (CVD) and metal-organic CVD (MOCVD) techniques are the forerunners in this context¹⁰. Figure 1a shows MOCVD-grown MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂ on two-inch sapphire wafers¹¹. Although the most important growth parameter is the process temperature, which is typically >500 °C,

¹Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. ²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. ³Materials Research Institute, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. ⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁵Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. ⁷Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. ⁸Institute for Microelectronics, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria. ⁹Ioffe Institute, Polytechnicheskaya 26, St Petersburg, Russia. ¹⁰Component Research, Intel Corporation, Hillsboro, OR, USA. ¹¹Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA. ¹²Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA. ¹³imec, Leuven, Belgium. ¹⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong. ¹⁵Centre for Nano Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India. ¹⁶King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), KAUST Solar Centre, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia. ¹⁷Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India. ^{See}-mail: sud70@psu.edu



Fig. 1] Two-dimensional FET fabrication and characterization. a, Epitaxial large-area growth of highly crystalline 2D monolayers on a sapphire substrate using a MOCVD technique by the Two-Dimensional Crystal Consortium (2DCC), an initiative of the National Science Foundation (NSF) through the Materials Innovation Platform (MIP)¹¹. **b**, The poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)-based wet-transfer technique developed by Zhang and others¹⁸. **c**, Schematic of a 2D FET with global back-gate. **d**, SEM image of a TLM structure fabricated on monolayer MoS₂. The channel is etched in a rectangular geometry to ensure constant channel width *W*. **e**,**f**, Transfer characteristics (that is, source-to-drain current, *I*_D) measured by sweeping the gate voltage (*V*_{GS}) at constant drain voltage (*V*_{DS}), plotted in linear and logarithmic scale (**e**) and output characteristics obtained by measuring *I*_D by sweeping *V*_{DS} at constant *V*_{GS} (**f**) of a 2D FET based on MOCVD-grown monolayer MoS₂. *I*_D is reported in units of $\mu A \mu m^{-1}$ by normalizing to *W*. **g**, Total channel resistance (*R*_T) as a function of channel length (*L*) for different carrier concentrations (*n*_S) obtained from a TLM geometry for monolayer MoS₂ with a 30 nm Ni/40 nm Au contact. Contact resistance (*R*_c) can be extracted from the *x* intercept of the linear fit of *R*_T versus *L* following Supplementary equation (5). **h**, *R*_c versus *n*_S obtained from 23 TLM structures. The error bars show the median and the interval between 25th percentile and 75th percentile. Panel **a** reproduced with permission from ref. ¹¹, Pennsylvania State University. Panels **d**-**h** adapted with permission from ref. ¹²⁵ under a Creative Commons licence CC BY 4.0.

the choice of precursors and substrate can also influence the growth. For example, crystalline substrates such as sapphire can facilitate the epitaxial growth of TMDs, which greatly reduces the number of grain boundaries and improves the performance of the 2D FETs¹². Note that 2D FETs must meet the performance criterion set forth by the International Roadmap for Devices and Systems (IRDS) for consideration as front end-of-line (FEOL) devices in advanced nodes, as discussed later. The performance criterion is less stringent for back end-of-line (BEOL) devices13, but CMOS process compatibility necessitates low-temperature growth (<450 °C) of TMDs, which is non-trivial¹⁴ and requires further investigation beyond some initial demonstrations^{15,16}. Alternatively, temperatureand substrate-related constraints of monolithic integration can be avoided by growing TMDs on desired substrates with higher thermal budgets, followed by clean and damage-free large-area transfers¹⁷. Figure 1b shows a commonly used wet-transfer technique developed by Zhang and others¹⁸.

Fabrication of 2D FETs. Fabrication of all layers required for 2D FETs must be clean and free from mechanical damage during the various lithography, etching and deposition processes. Photoresist residues can be removed by thermal/current annealing post device fabrication and by plasma treatment before depositing the contacts^{19,20}. However, plasma treatment can damage the underlying 2D

materials. Easily removable sacrificial metal/polymer layers can also be used with standard lithography techniques to reduce photoresist residue²¹. Note that, for 300 mm integration, it is likely that a different integration scheme will be adopted that no longer relies on liftoff techniques²². Figure 1c presents a schematic of a 2D FET with the most commonly used global back-gate geometry and Fig. 1d shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of a transmission line measurement (TLM) structure. Note that the monolayer MoS₂ film is etched into a rectangular shape to ensure constant channel width *W*, which is recommended practice. However, as the channel width becomes narrower at advanced VLSI nodes, dangling bonds at the edges must be passivated accordingly. Finally, for VLSI integration, individually controllable dual-gated 2D FETs are required. Such structures have been investigated in the form of standard top-gated^{23,24}, split-gated²⁵ and gate-all-around²⁶ geometries.

Electrical characterization of 2D FETs. Good practice for 2D FET characterization should take into account the following protocols. It has been shown that the electrical characteristics of as-fabricated 2D FETs drift and change under repeated testing. Therefore, it is recommended that the measurement conditions are stabilized by controlled biasing schemes before key device parameters are extracted and the procedure is reported accordingly. Standard measurements of 2D FETs include the transfer characteristics (that is,

measuring the drain current (I_D) while sweeping the source-to-gate voltage (V_{GS}) at constant source-to-drain voltage (V_{DS}) plotted in linear and logarithmic scale, Fig. 1e) and output characteristics obtained by measuring I_D while sweeping V_{DS} at constant V_{GS} (Fig. 1f). I_D should be reported in units of $\mu A \mu m^{-1}$ by normalizing to the width of the 2D channel (*W*). The gate leakage current (I_G) should also be reported to ensure that I_D is free from any artefacts. It is also recommended that the voltage sweeps are performed in both directions, for different sweep rates and sweep ranges, to reveal the hysteresis in the device characteristics²⁷. As detailed in the following, a comprehensive report on device performance indicators must include the off current (I_{OFF}), on current (I_{ON}), current on/off ratio, threshold voltage (V_{TH}), carrier mobility (μ), inverse subthreshold slope (SS), contact resistance (R_C) and saturation velocity (v_{SAT}).

 I_{OFF} is often determined by the noise floor of the measurement instrument if not stated otherwise. For $I_{\rm ON}$, it is important to specify $V_{\rm DS}$ and the gate overdrive voltage ($V_{\rm GS}-V_{\rm TH}$) or the carrier concentration n_s (Supplementary Section 1 provides a discussion of the extraction of n_s). The current on/off ratio must be stated for a given gate voltage range ($V_{\rm GS,max}-V_{\rm GS,min}$). $V_{\rm TH}$ can be extracted using linear extrapolation $(V_{\text{TH}_{\text{in}}})$ of the transfer characteristics²⁸, the Y-function method $(V_{\text{TH}_{\text{Y}}})^{29}$ or the constant-current method $(V_{TH_{cc}})^{28}$ (Supplementary Section 2 provides an illustration of the extraction of $V_{\rm TH}$ by different methods). Note that $V_{\rm TH}$ will differ depending on the extraction method, so the method should be reported accordingly. Carrier mobility (μ) can be extracted from the peak transconductance (μ_{e_m}) , Y-function (μ_Y) or TLM (μ_{TLM}) (Supplementary Section 2 presents further discussion on mobility extraction)³⁰. SS should be reported as an average over several orders of magnitude change in $I_{\rm D}$. Although the expected SS for any ultrathin-body (UTB) FET is 60 mV dec⁻¹, this value is rarely achieved in 2D FETs due to the finite interface trap capacitance (C_{TT}) given by the interface trap density, D_{TT} (Supplementary Section 2)³¹. $R_{\rm C}$ is extracted using the TLM geometry for different $n_{\rm s}$ using Fig. 1g and Supplementary equation (5) as shown in Fig. 1h. Note that, unlike silicon FETs, where metal-silicon interfaces are mostly ohmic in nature owing to the formation of metal silicide, metal-2D interfaces are mostly Schottky in nature, with the Schottky barrier (SB) width being a function of V_{GS} (refs. ^{29,32}). Furthermore, for silicon FETs, the channel underneath the metal contacts is degenerately doped and hence cannot be gated, whereas the 2D channels are mostly intrinsic and are under gate control in a back-gated geometry^{29,32}. As a result, the value of $R_{\rm C}$ in 2D FETs depends on $(V_{GS} - V_{TH})$ or n_S and must be reported accordingly (Fig. 1h). For a more comprehensive understanding of metal-2D contacts, temperature-dependent measurements must be performed to extract the SB height³²⁻³⁴.

Saturation velocity (v_{sAT}) is another important parameter for FETs. Although, at low lateral electric field *E*, the average electron or hole drift velocity (v_d) increases linearly with mobility following $v_d = \mu E$, at large electric field the carrier velocity saturates. In silicon, $v_{sat} \approx 10^7 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ occurs at $E > 1 \text{ V } \mu\text{m}^{-1}$ (ref. ³⁵), a value that is readily achievable in submicrometre FETs. Thus, I_{ON} becomes less dependent on μ and is instead proportional to $v_{sat} \approx 6 \times 10^6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ for MoS₂ on SiO₂ using a pulsed measurement technique. If this average velocity were maintained along the channel of a MoS₂ transistor, $I_{ON} \ge 1 \text{ mA } \mu\text{m}^{-1}$ could be achieved in this monolayer semiconductor at a carrier density of $n_s \ge 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Supplementary Section 3 provides more discussion about v_{sat}). Figure 2a summarizes the experimental results for v_{sat} in various 2D and 3D materials³⁸.

Note that, during the measurement of a 2D FET, particularly with thicker back-gate oxides, current saturation can occur through either pinch-off or velocity saturation and self-heating (SH), as illustrated in Fig. 2b. In the former case, the saturation current has a classical³⁵ quadratic dependence, ($V_{\rm CS} - V_{\rm TH}$)², whereas in the latter case

the current scales linearly³⁶ or even sublinearly (when SH becomes non-negligible³⁸) with ($V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm TH}$). SH is a challenge because it degrades transistor performance and reliability, and because it introduces complications for interpretation of the high-field device parameters, such as $v_{\rm sat}$. SH plays an important role in 2D FETs, which are an extreme case of semiconductor-on-insulator (SOI) technology³⁸, because the 2D channel is often on a thermally resistive film of SiO₂. In addition, the weak van der Waals interface with SiO₂ has a relatively large thermal boundary resistance (TBR $\approx 60 \text{ m}^2 \text{ K GW}^{-1}$), equivalent to the thermal resistance of ~ 80 nm SiO₂ at room temperature^{39,40}. Direct thermal measurements of MoS₂ FETs have found that their temperature rise can exceed $\sim 200 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ during operation⁴⁰.

SH in 2D FETs can be identified from the output characteristics (Fig. 2c). First, we note a sublinear dependence of the saturation current on $(V_{GS} - V_{TH})$ is distinct from the linear relationship due to velocity saturation or the quadratic dependence due to classical pinch-off. Second, extreme SH can lead to negative differential conductance (NDC) at high current levels, which has also been observed in measurements taken at sufficiently high V_{DS} (and I_D)⁴¹. Both effects are caused by increased scattering as the temperature increases during high-current operation. Several measures can be taken to limit SH effects in 2D transistors. First, a reduction of the channel length increases thermal dissipation into the metal contacts^{39,42}. Second, switching transistors with nanosecond (or faster) pulses³⁷ reduces SH by operating them below the thermal time constant⁴³, which can be on the order of ~100 ns. Third, the thermal resistance could be reduced by decreasing the insulator thickness (or using hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) as a lateral heat spreader⁴⁴) and improving the TBR of the interfaces surrounding the 2D material. Supplementary Section 4 provides more discussion of SH.

The importance of mobility. Note that carrier mobility is less important for ultra-scaled devices than is often assumed because the terminal currents in nanoscale transistors are limited by $R_{\rm C}$ (refs. ^{30,38,45,46}), $v_{\rm sat}$ (when optical phonon scattering dominates³⁶) or injection velocity (in ultrashort channels comparable to the scattering mean free path⁴⁷). Still, mobility is a useful quantity to estimate electron or hole scattering rates and effective masses at a given temperature (for scattering with phonons), carrier density (Coulomb screening effects), channel thickness (surface roughness) and gate insulator properties (remote phonon and impurity scattering). However, because mobility, unlike current, is never measured directly, its extraction can be prone to substantial errors.

So, what is more important for transistor performance-current (high I_{ON} and low I_{OFF}), high transconductance (g_m) , low output conductance (g_0) or low parasitic capacitance? As an example, Fig. 2d displays I_{ON} from measurements of monolayer MoS₂ transistors^{38,46,48-55} as a function of L, at the same $V_{\rm DS} = 1$ V and maximum V_{GS} reported. The solid curves represent a simple model with $I_{\rm ON} = V_{\rm DS}/(LR_{\rm sh} + 2R_{\rm C})$, where $R_{\rm sh} = (qn_{\rm s}\mu)^{-1} \approx 8 \,\mathrm{k}\Omega \,\mathrm{sq}^{-1}$ is the average channel sheet resistance with $n_{\rm S} = 2 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, $\mu = 40 \,\mathrm{cm}^2 \,\mathrm{V}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $R_{\rm C} = 1 \, \mathrm{k} \Omega \, \mu \mathrm{m}$ or $500 \, \Omega \, \mu \mathrm{m}$, in the range of the best contacts reported so far^{30,38,45,46}. Micrometre-scale transistors are limited by their mobility or saturation velocity³⁸, but short channels $(L < 2R_c/R_{sh})$, especially <100 nm) are strongly limited by their contacts. Thus, the largest improvements of short-channel MoS₂ transistors will be achieved by further reducing the contact resistance, together with reduction of the effective oxide thickness (EOT). Other benchmarking data for multilayer and other 2D material transistors are available on a recently launched website⁵².

Insulators and reliability. A prerequisite for 2D FETs to be considered mature enough for VLSI applications is that they operate reliably over their entire lifetime of typically 10 years. Different methodologies provide different perspectives on how the reliability of 2D



Fig. 2 | Saturation current and saturation velocity in 2D FETs. a, Summary of measured saturation velocity (v_{sat}) versus bandgap (E_G) in various 2D and 3D materials³⁸. Some values are shown as ranges, with the lower end representing values that are limited by SH and remote phonon scattering, and the top end corresponding to values obtained from pulsed measurements³⁷ or samples with better heat sinking (for example, on hBN⁴⁴). BP, black phosphorus. **b**, Measurements of a back-gated monolayer MoS₂ transistor ($L = 4.9 \,\mu\text{m}$ on $t_{ox} = 30 \,\text{nm SiO}_2$, where t_{ox} is the gate insulator thickness) illustrating three regimes of operation³⁸. **c**, Simulated monolayer MoS₂ transistor output curves including (solid lines) and excluding SH (dashed). Channel length $L = 200 \,\text{nm}$ and EOT = 1 nm. For simplicity, the thermal resistance is assumed to be entirely limited by the TBR of the MoS₂/SiO₂ interface (inset)¹⁸⁴. SH can cause ~40% current reduction and NDC (also seen experimentally³⁸) at the highest power densities simulated here. **d**, Measured current of monolayer MoS₂ transistors (symbols) versus channel length⁵², compared with a simple model (lines) at $V_{DS} = 1V$. The gate voltage V_{GS} is at the maximum reported in the respective experimental study. The measurements were conducted in a room-temperature environment, but the temperature at the point of maximum current (shown here) could be a few hundred degrees higher, due to SH^{39,40}. Circles show measurements for back-gated devices and squares the measurements for top- or dual-gated devices. Blue filled symbols indicate a SiO₂ gate insulator and rose filled symbols higher- κ insulators. Panels **a** and **b** adapted with permission from ref. ³⁸, American Chemical Society.

devices is adversely affected by inferior semiconductor-to-insulator interfaces as well as insulator defects. Valuable insights can be gained about the semiconductor-to-insulator interface from studying low-frequency variations (millhertz to megahertz) in $I_{\rm D}$ —commonly called flicker or 1/f noise^{56,57}. The magnitude of the noise spectral density determines the precision limit of FET operation, which can be increased by eliminating charge traps in the vicinity of the channel, either via encapsulation⁵⁷ or by including hBN between the substrate and the 2D channel⁵⁶. The same charge traps can be studied on an atomic level in nanoscale FETs, where the number of traps per device can be reduced from several thousand down to a countable number of less than 100. In this case, the current fluctuations take the form of discrete steps, as every single trapping event triggers a discrete step in $I_{\rm D}$, which is called random telegraph noise (RTN). So far, the technological difficulty of fabricating high-quality 2D FETs with a sufficiently small charge trap or defect density $(N_T < 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ and active area $(A < 100 \text{ nm} \times 100 \text{ nm})$, which together determine the total number of defects $(N = N_T \times A)$, has limited the number of studies on RTN in 2D FETs^{58,59}. The location of the defects has been analysed, confirming that the most detrimental charge traps are located at adsorbates and in the gate insulator⁵⁹. Figure 3a shows the atomic structure of the MoS₂/SiO₂ interface (left), which is more defective than the Si/SiO₂ interface (right). Most importantly, the average time constants of the traps cover an extremely wide range, from nanoseconds (and probably faster) to years (Fig. 3b).

In addition to noise, the charge-trapping events at insulator defects in the vicinity of the channel cause a hysteresis in the transfer characteristics of 2D FETs^{27,60,61} that can be orders of magnitude larger than what is observed in commercial silicon technologies⁶². Charge trapping can also cause an apparent SS of <60 mV dec⁻¹ during the 2D FET transfer characteristics measurements, as shown for oxide-based FETs⁶³. Detailed studies have revealed that the critical defects are located in the insulator ~1–5 nm (ref. ⁶⁴) away from the channel, which classifies them as border traps. In addition to border traps, water and other gaseous adsorbates from an ambient environment⁶⁰ or residue from immature processing at the critical semiconductor-to-insulator interface will substantially increase the observed hysteresis. Typically, the hysteresis increases with increasing voltage ranges²⁷ and sweep times⁶¹.



Fig. 3 | Reliability of 2D FETs. a, The atomic structure of the MoS₂/SiO₂ interface (left) contains many hydrogen atoms (shown in blue) and dangling bonds, resulting in a defective interface. By comparison, the Si/SiO₂ interface (right) is notably less defective. **b**, Charge-trapping time constants associated with RTN, hysteresis and BTI cover an extremely wide range and are only accessible by measurements that combine different methods. **c**, Comparison of the hysteresis width for Si/SiO₂ (ref. ⁶²) and MoS₂/SiO₂ (ref. ¹⁸⁵). **d**, Hysteresis widths measured on 16 different 2D FETs: 1, ref. ¹⁸⁶; 2, ref. ⁶⁰ (in air); 3, ref. ¹⁸⁷; 4, ref. ¹⁸⁶ (SiO₂); 5, ref. ⁶⁰ (in vacuum); 6, ref. ²⁷ (SiO₂); 7, ref. ¹⁸⁵ (bare); 8, ref. ⁶⁴; 9, ref. ¹⁸⁶ (Al₂O₃); 10, ref. ¹⁸⁹; 11, ref. ¹⁸⁵ (capped); 12, ref. ²⁷ (hBN); 13, ref. ⁶¹ (bare); 14, ref. ⁶¹ (capped); 15, ref. ⁶⁵; 16, ref. ⁶². Bars with white stripes indicate that the respective devices were back-gated with a bare channel. **e**, Negative BTI measured in Si/SiO₂ (ref. ¹⁹⁰) and MoS₃/SiO₂ (ref. ¹⁸⁵) FETs. Here, t_s is the stress time.

This knowledge can be exploited with a pulsed measurement scheme, where the hysteresis is considerably decreased for pulses in the millisecond range⁶⁴. To reduce the density of border traps, a less defective gate insulator, for example, a multilayer hBN crystal^{27,61} or ionic CaF₂ (ref. ⁶⁵), can be used. The hysteresis in two example devices is shown in Fig. 3c and the hysteresis width is compared for many different devices in Fig. 3d. Although the hysteresis has to be avoided for stable FET operation, it is useful for neuromorphic circuits⁶⁶, and the sensitivity of the hysteresis on the gas concentration can be exploited in gas sensors⁶⁷.

In addition to hysteresis, slower border traps can charge during device operation, thereby causing a shift of the threshold voltage, which, over time, accumulates until the operating point changes too much and the device fails^{68,69}. This phenomenon is typically referred to as bias temperature instability (BTI). For BTI characterization, a gate bias is applied for a certain time and the shift of the threshold voltage ($\Delta V_{\rm TH}$) is recorded during the stress and recovery phases. Several studies have evaluated the BTI characteristics of 2D FETs^{27,68,69} (Fig. 3e), where accelerated degradation was observed for stress-recovery measurements at elevated temperatures, typically between 40 °C and 200 °C (refs. ^{27,68,69}). Recovery traces contain valuable information on the permanence of the device degradation inflicted by gate bias stress⁶⁹.

Another reliability issue that is related to device failure towards the end of the lifetime is time-dependent dielectric breakdown (TDDB)⁷⁰. TDDB depends primarily on the gate insulator as its physical mechanism is governed by the formation of defects in the insulator. Once the defect density crosses a critical threshold, a conductive filament is formed, which leads to a strong increase in the gate leakage current⁷⁰. Contrary to the well-studied breakdown of SiO₂, 2D insulators have been shown to break down in a layer-by-layer fashion⁷¹. Even though TDDB needs to be avoided to provide stable FET operation, the physical mechanisms eventually leading to breakdown can be used to build resistive random-access memories⁷².

An additional reliability concern is degradation caused by applying a high voltage at the drain side of the device—the so-called hot carrier degradation (HCD). Although HCD in silicon FETs is among the central reliability concerns in scaled devices⁷³, only very little is known about HCD in 2D material-based devices⁷⁴; HCD may be fundamental for functionalized 2D materials or hydrogen-passivated edges, as these bonds could be susceptible to hot carrier-triggered dissociation.

The aforementioned reliability issues-1/f noise, RTN, hysteresis, BTI, TDDB and HCD-have a common root cause, namely charge-trapping events at defects and the formation of new defects at suitable defect precursor sites such as strained or dangling bonds in the vicinity of the 2D channel material. As a consequence, the typically highly defective interface between the 2D semiconductor and conventional 3D oxides, such as SiO₂ or HfO₂, which additionally contain high numbers of intrinsic defects, will result in poor reliability. The border traps in the insulator are energetically aligned within distinct defect bands that are broader in amorphous oxides and tend to degenerate to discrete levels in crystalline insulators³¹. This could help in solving the reliability challenges of 2D FETs by using less defective, crystalline insulators, which offer the possibility of forming a (quasi) van der Waals interface with the 2D semiconductor in the channel³¹. From our current perspective, the most promising candidates for this purpose are layered 2D insulators such as hBN⁶¹, mica⁷⁵, native layered oxides like Bi₂SeO₅ (ref. ⁷⁶) or



Fig. 4 | Contact resistance and doping of 2D FETs. a, Some of the best reports for R_c for different TMDs, along with the IRDS requirements for HP and LP FETs in future VLSI technology nodes⁵². **b**, Device layout for dual-gated 2D FETs to avoid, in particular, overlap capacitances between the source-drain metal contacts and the gate that are currently present in almost all prototype 2D FETs. Both n-type and p-type 2D FETs need to be developed with degenerate doping underneath the source-drain contacts, with the gate operating on the intrinsic region. Doped regions can also be replaced with metallic 2D materials. **c**, Calculated R_c versus L_c for different combinations of ρ_c and R_{SH} (ref. ⁸¹). R_c increases monotonically as L_c is scaled down. **d**, Substitutional Nb-doping of MoS₂ during growth. **e**, C-doping, post growth, of monolayer MoS₂ using plasma. **f**, Schematic of a back-gated 2D FET with silicon nitride (SiN₂) as the SCTD layer. Panel **a** adapted with permission from ref. ⁵², Stanford University.

fluorides such as CaF_2 (ref. ⁶⁵). Even so, the introduction of such new insulators poses its own challenges related to the growth of crystalline insulators at a moderate thermal budget or other important insulator requirements such as a high dielectric constant (see the section 'Dielectric integration'). Nevertheless, many of the reliability problems that might inhibit the use of 2D FETs in VLSI chips can at the same time be used to build 2D material-based devices, such as non-volatile memory elements⁷², synaptic devices for neuromorphic computing⁶⁶ or highly sensitive sensors⁷⁷, as outlined in more detail in the section 'Potential applications for 2D FETs in future VLSI'.

Key technological challenges for 2D transistors

According to the IRDS for scaled FETs, 2D materials are a possible solution for the challenges faced in the technology nodes beyond 2028, that is, at the ultimate scaling limit⁷⁸. The IRDS roadmap places stringent requirements on every technology that aims for these ultra-scaled dimensions, the most critical among them being low contact resistances, gate lengths on the order of 10 nm (ref. ⁵⁵), on currents in the range of 100 μ A μ m⁻¹–1 mA μ m⁻¹, an inversion layer thickness of only 0.9 nm, off-state currents of 10 nA μ m⁻¹ for high-performance (HP) and 100 pA μ m⁻¹ for low-power (LP) requirements and competitive device reliability⁷⁸. Here, we discuss the state of the art in 2D material-based nanoelectronics focusing on these aspects.

Contact resistance. Contact resistance holds the key to achieving high-performance 2D FETs. According to the IRDS 2020 Update⁷⁸, the total parasitic series resistance (R_{SD}) must be reduced to 221 Ω µm over the course of the next 15 years. Note that, for silicon transistors, $R_{SD} = 2R_C + 2R_A$, where R_C is the contact resistance and R_A is the access resistance, which includes contributions from the accumulation layer, spreading resistance and sheet resistance of the source–drain. The best R_C values for 2D FETs reported so far are ~123 $\Omega \mu m$ for a bismuth-contacted n-MoS₂ monolayer⁵³, ~180 Ω µm for n-channel monolayer MoS₂ (Ag/Au contact) after amorphous titanium suboxide (ATO) doping⁵⁴, and ~100 Ω µm for p-channel multilayer WSe₂ after nitric oxide (NO) doping (unpublished). Figure 4a summarizes some of the best reports of contact resistance for different TMDs⁵². Fundamental challenges in achieving low contact resistance in 2D FETs stem from the existence of a SB at the metal-2D interface, metal oxidation, metal reaction and damage to the 2D material, incomplete coverage due to metal grains and so on³². Attempts have been made to lower the SB height through metal work-function engineering, 2D/2D contacting and depinning of the Fermi level using interlayer insertion, as well as narrowing the SB width through surface charge transfer doping (SCTD)³². Although impressive, most approaches lack scalability for VLSI. In fact, high-performance n- or p-type 2D FETs with on currents approaching the mA μ m⁻¹ regime (to rival current silicon technology) with an inverse SS in the 60 mV dec⁻¹ regime and a sufficiently low off current at supply voltages V_{DD} of ~1 V have not yet been achieved.

Future generations of 2D FETs will require a device layout as shown in Fig. 4b to avoid the overlap capacitances between electrodes that are currently present in almost all prototype 2D devices. In other words, both n- and p-type 2D FETs need to be developed with degenerate doping underneath the contacts and the gate operating on the intrinsic region. Some attempts at designing such a doping profile have been made⁷⁹, but the device performance remains inadequate. Conventional substitutional doping by means of ion implantation is undesirable because of probable damage to the ultrathin 2D channel, so SCTD or in situ growth approaches need to be employed. Another alternative could be making contact to phase-engineered metallic 2D materials⁸⁰.

Contact scaling is another important consideration for 2D FETs because nanoscale devices also require nanoscale contacts. As shown in Fig. 4c, $R_{\rm C}$ increases as the contact length ($L_{\rm C}$) is reduced following

Box 1 | Drain-induced barrier lowering and scale length and short-channel effects

The left panel shows the conduction band edge versus position along the channel of an n-type 2D transistor below threshold, illustrating the electrostatic scale length λ and the DIBL phenomenon. Most 2D FETs have non-zero contact SB, as shown. Electrons are shown as small red particles in the source, entering the channel as the channel barrier is lowered. The right panel shows $I_{\rm D}$ versus $V_{\rm GS}$, illustrating lower $V_{\rm TH}$ and worse SS due to DIBL at higher $V_{\rm DS}$. The solid lines are at low $V_{\rm DS}$ and the dashed lines are with high V_{DS} in both panels. DIBL is minimized when L > 3 to 4λ . A common approximation of this scale length in SOI transistors¹⁹¹ is $\lambda \approx \sqrt{\varepsilon_{\rm S} t_{\rm S} t_{\rm OX} / \varepsilon_{\rm OX}}$; however, this expression must be revisited when one of the thicknesses is much larger than the other. For example, in 2D FETs with $t_s \ll t_{ox}$, most electric fields from the drain traverse the insulator instead of the semiconductor body. The scale length also depends on FET geometry, for example, single- versus double-gate, gated versus doped contacts, isotropic versus anisotropic material permittivity. For a symmetric double-gate FET^{192,193}, the scale length is a solution of $tan(t_s/2\lambda)$ $\tan(t_{\rm OX}/\lambda) = \varepsilon_{\rm OX}/\varepsilon_{\rm S}$, where the first term becomes linear in $t_{\rm S}$ for $t_{\rm s} \ll \lambda$, as in monolayer 2D FETs. In this case, $t_{\rm s}$ is at the atomic limit and can no longer be scaled down, so t_{OX} remains the main parameter that must be reduced to prevent short-channel effects.



the expression $R_{\rm C} = \sqrt{\rho_{\rm C} R_{\rm SH}} \coth(L_{\rm C}/L_{\rm T})$, where, $\rho_{\rm C}$ is the specific contact resistivity, $R_{\rm SH}$ is the channel sheet resistance under the contact and $L_{\rm T} = \sqrt{\rho_{\rm C}/R_{\rm SH}}$ is the transfer length, which determines the extent of current crowding^{32,81}. For $L_{\rm C} \gg L_{\rm P}$, $R_{\rm C} = \sqrt{\rho_{\rm C} R_{\rm SH}}$, independent of $L_{\rm C}$, whereas, for $L_{\rm C} \ll L_{\rm D} R_{\rm C} = \rho_{\rm C}/L_{\rm C}$. Therefore, true reduction in $R_{\rm C}$ for aggressively scaled devices necessitates $L_{\rm T}$ scaling and/or reduction in $\rho_{\rm C}$. To achieve $L_{\rm T} < 10$ nm, $\rho_{\rm C} \approx 10^{-8} \Omega \,{\rm cm}^2$ must be achieved when $R_{\rm SH} \approx 10 \,\rm k\Omega \, sq^{-1}$. Even for the best reported $R_{\rm C}$ for the TMDs, $\rho_{\rm C}$ is still about an order of magnitude higher than for heavily doped silicon contacts. English et al.³⁰ have reported $L_{\rm T} = 20-40$ nm for gold contacts to MoS₂. Note that there is ongoing consideration of where carriers are actually injected at the metal-2D interface, with evidence that top-contacted devices with thinner flakes and contact gating (that is, gate overlap) lead to more edge-dominated injection and thus offer better $L_{\rm C}$ scalability^{82,83}. However, without contact gating, this edge injection behaviour may not hold and thus pure edge-contacted devices may be a more viable solution for aggressively scaled 2D FETs⁸⁴.

Doping 2D semiconductors. A comprehensive review of various doping strategies for TMDs has been compiled by Luo and colleagues⁸⁵. In TMDs it is possible to replace both cationic and anionic elements substitutionally by foreign atoms with comparable radii without substantial distortion of the crystal structure of the host material⁸⁶. Cationic elements can be replaced by elements such as niobium⁸⁷ and rhenium⁸⁸ to achieve p- and n-type doping, respectively (Fig. 4d). However, as the doping has to be introduced during the growth stage, it is difficult to spatially pattern the dopants.

Substitutional doping has also been realized by replacing the anions through exposure to plasmas such as carbon⁸⁹, nitrogen⁹⁰ and so on (Fig. 4e). Although patternable, plasma doping introduces lattice defects, which leads to performance degradation. Additionally, structural incorporation of the dopant in the lattice does not imply dopant activation.

A better doping strategy is SCTD, with which the work function, electron affinity and concentration of the adsorbed or deposited interfacial species determine the type and extent of doping of the underlying 2D channel. SCTD has been demonstrated in several TMD materials, enabling both n- and p-type doping, with varying levels of effectiveness⁸⁵. Doping can also be induced by depositing a sub-stoichiometric insulator such as aluminium oxide⁴⁵, amorphous titanium suboxide⁵⁴, molybdenum trioxide^{79,91,92} or silicon nitride⁹³ (Fig. 4f). Another approach is ozone or oxygen plasma treatment, which converts the top layer of TMDs to their respective sub-stoichiometric oxides and results in strong p-type doping in the underlying layers^{79,91}. In FETs based on multilayer 2D materials, the interlayer space has been exploited for doping via intercalation of foreign ions, atoms and even small molecules, although stability, patternability and fabrication process compatibility are key challenges with this approach. Supplementary Section 5 summarizes some of the most promising doping approaches, their resulting doping type and the corresponding doping concentrations reported for monolayer and multilayer TMDs.

Mobility engineering. The carrier mobility values reported for most 2D materials are substantially lower than their theoretically predicted values, indicating that there is large room for improvement⁹⁴. As a result of the UTB, carrier transport in 2D materials is often not determined by their intrinsic mobility limited by phonon scattering, but by extrinsic effects, including phonon scattering from the dielectrics, Coulomb scattering from the charge impurities, scattering from defects, and surface roughness scattering from the interfaces^{95,96}. Point defects are the most important scattering source in TMDs. Owing to the low formation energy of the chalcogen vacancy, a large amount of sulfur vacancies are commonly observed in synthesized MoS₂, which can induce short-range scattering and degrade carrier mobility⁹⁷. Najmaei et al.⁹⁸ reported that a self-assembled monolayer can partially repair such vacancies and substantially improve the electron mobility. Ma and Jena⁹⁹ predicted that high- κ dielectrics provide effective screening of the charge impurities leading to high Coulomb-limited mobility, but the soft optical phonons in high- κ dielectrics result in low phonon-limited mobility. Selecting moderate-permittivity dielectrics can optimize the carrier mobility in 2D materials. It has been demonstrated that hBN encapsulation of 2D materials reduces scattering from substrate phonons and charged impurities, resulting in higher carrier mobilities¹⁰⁰. Alternatively, strain can be used for mobility engineering¹⁰¹.

Scale length. As mentioned earlier, a key motivation for using 2D semiconductors in aggressively scaled devices is their UTB (t_s) , which can mitigate so-called short-channel effects, such as drain-induced barrier lowering (DIBL, Box 1). In addition to minimizing the contact dimensions (by improving the contact resistance) and gate-to-contact spacing¹⁰² (including doping), the smallest gate lengths must be achieved by minimizing the electrostatic scale length λ . This scale length represents the competition between the gate and drain potential for control of the channel charge, and a stronger gate-to-channel coupling leads to a desirable, shorter λ . To avoid short-channel effects, the transistor gate length must be at least three to four times larger than λ , which depends on the channel and gate insulator thickness (t_s and t_{OX}), as well as their dielectric constants (ε_s and ε_{ox}). Improvement in λ can be achieved by moving from standard single-gated geometries⁸² to dual-gated^{24,103}, fin¹⁰⁴ and gate-all-around (GAA) geometries²⁶.

Box 2 | Criteria for the gate dielectric

- (1) Yield a gate capacitance of $>3 \mu$ F cm⁻² to ensure a carrier density of $>10^{13}$ cm⁻² at $V_{GS} < 1$ V.
- (2) Have an electronic structure that, in combination with the semiconductor, allows for small (minimal) thermionic and tunnel gate leakage current <0.01 A cm⁻² (ref. ¹⁹⁴) as required for LP circuits.
- (3) Form an inert interface with the 2D channel material to ensure no reactivity and minimal degradation of carrier mobility (a known issue in silicon technology)¹⁹⁵.
- (4) Have low interface and bulk defect/trap density to operate near the ideal SS value of 60 mV dec⁻¹ with no detectable hysteresis.
- (5) Be compatible with pinhole-free, conformal deposition techniques such as ALD with subnanometre wafer-scale thickness control and low spatial fixed charge variation¹⁹⁶.
- (6) Allow for threshold voltage tuning using metal gate work-function engineering to enable NMOS and PMOS without channel doping. This requirement can be relaxed if different 2D materials are used for n-type and p-type channels or if the oxide itself can dope the channel through SCTD¹⁹⁷.
- (7) Be suitable for reliable operation for >10 years with a breakdown field of $\sim 10 \text{ MV cm}^{-1}$ (ref. ¹⁹⁸).

Dielectric integration. Integration of ultrathin dielectrics is critical to the success of 2D FETs in advanced technologies. Several key criteria need to be satisfied by a candidate gate dielectric stack (transition/buffer layer with suitable high- κ dielectric) to enable a high-performance 2D FET technology (Box 2).

With regards to gate dielectric scaling, there is a need to balance the thickness of the dielectric for minimizing gate leakage current with the push for high gate capacitance for improved gate coupling. According to the IRDS roadmap, the inversion layer thickness must not exceed 0.9 nm (ref. 78). The inversion layer thickness consists of the EOT and the physical extension of the inversion layer, which amounts to ~0.4 nm, corresponding to the approximate size of the electron orbit¹⁰⁵. Thus, for an inversion layer thickness of 0.9 nm, an EOT of ~0.5 nm must be achieved with low leakage. For hBN, as one of the most commonly used layered insulators, this corresponds to two atomic monolayers and a physical thickness of ~0.66 nm because of the small permittivity of hBN of ~5. However, this small physical thickness leads to excessive leakage currents that render hBN unsuitable as a gate insulator for 2D FETs at the scaling limit¹⁰⁶. Instead of hBN, several other insulators for 2D FETs, such as mica⁷⁵, HfO₂ (ref. ¹⁰⁷), CaF₂ (ref. ⁶⁵) or Bi₂SeO₅ (ref. ⁷⁶), could hypothetically offer smaller leakage currents due to their increased physical thickness at the same EOT, provided they can be integrated with the 2D channel as discussed below.

The inert basal planes of 2D semiconductors inhibit the ability to nucleate growth of high- κ dielectrics using standard atomic layer deposition (ALD) processes. Efforts to realize scalable, high- κ dielectrics on top of 2D materials can be broadly classified into four approaches (Fig. 5): surface treatments^{23,108,109}, ALD process modifications^{22,110,111}, buffer/seeding layers^{65,112-115} and transferred or transformed films^{73,76,100,116-118}. For surface treatments, the premise is to generate reactive sites on the otherwise inert basal plane, either by intentionally generating defects or by adding adsorbents. Although it has been suggested that exposing a MoS₂ surface to ozone can lead to a sacrificial oxygen layer on the surface that does not disrupt the MoS₂ crystal and allows for a uniform, pinhole-free Al₂O₃ dielectric using ALD (Fig. 5a)¹¹⁴, many follow-on reports suggest inconsistencies in this process and reactive oxidation to the 2D crystal¹⁰⁸. A variety of other surface treatments have been reported, typically in the form of plasma exposure (for example, O_2 , H_2 and N_2), but they all tend to exhibit some degree of 2D crystal damage and/or low uniformity.

The second approach to achieving high- κ nucleation is through modification of the ALD process. This is largely related to surface treatments as it involves modification of the precursor choice or inclusion of a plasma precursor step to drive surface functionalization and, ultimately, nucleation. Some of the thinnest high- κ dielectrics so far have been demonstrated using plasma-enhanced ALD (PEALD; HfO₂ down to ~3 nm on MoS₂, Fig. 5b)¹¹¹. However, this process has also been shown to severely damage the topmost 2D layer¹¹⁰, which may be acceptable in a few-layer film but is a non-starter for monolayers.

Buffer layers can be used to support the nucleation of high- κ dielectrics on 2D channels. However, buffer layers are rarely high- κ and must be as thin and effectual as possible. There has been some success in this regard by using metal oxides formed via the deposition of a thin metal seed layer (for example, electron beam evaporation of Al) followed by its oxidation^{45,112}, but the evaporation of most metal layers shows damage to the uppermost layers of 2D semiconductors¹¹⁹. Others have explored organic films¹¹³, but these tend to suffer from a relatively low electrical permittivity as well as a disordered structure that can lead to large variability. A recent demonstration used a vacuum-phase-deposited molecular crystal monolayer (PTCDA) to achieve an EOT of ~1 nm from an ultrathin high- κ dielectric grown on the seed layer (Fig. 5c)¹¹⁵. On the whole, buffer layers pose a scaling challenge as they would need to be simultaneously nanometre-thin, uniform, reliable and as high- κ as possible, although recent PTCDA work does show promise if it can be reproduced more broadly.

The last general approach to realizing a scalable high- κ dielectric on inert 2D surfaces is to use transferred or transformed films. Most common is hBN¹¹⁶, though other options are emerging, such as MoO₃ (ref. ¹¹⁸), GaS (ref. ⁷³) and CaF₂ (ref. ⁶⁵). Compelling demonstrations of 'all 2D' transistors have come from these transferred-film approaches^{117,120}. Another option is to partially transform the 2D semiconductor into its native high- κ oxide with sub-1-nm EOT (Fig. 5d)^{76,107}. This 2D film transformation approach is promising but requires further study to assess the uniformity and yield of the process, which also lacks broad applicability to the diverse range of 2D semiconductors as it would only be compatible with those that are able to be controllably oxidized into a high-quality insulator.

As can be seen, a variety of approaches have been taken to resolve the challenges of integrating a scalable, high-quality dielectric into the gate stack of 2D FETs. Although some of these have shown real promise, the reality is that a viable process will have to be compatible with the demands of a particular technology. It is recommended that continued research efforts consider the uniformity and scalability of proposed processes so that their true utility may be assessed.

Device-to-device variability. The vast majority of the literature on 2D FETs is limited to the demonstration of a few selected devices and does not touch upon the question of yield, that is, the number of devices that achieve comparable performance. Although achieving good device-level metrics such as low contact resistance, high on current and short gate lengths is important for improving system-level performance, device-to-device variation is often the ultimate limiter in transistor scaling and integration. In silicon FinFET technology, variability sources such as work-function variations, fin edge roughness and random dopant fluctuations are well known, monitored and modelled on a wafer scale, contributing to an in-depth understanding that helps to drastically reduce the overall variability^{121,122}. In comparison, although 2D FETs will share quite a few of those issues, specific knowledge about variability sources in 2D FETs seems to be fragmentary so far. Recently, a few studies have



Fig. 5 | Integration of high- κ dielectric on 2D semiconductors. a, Surface treatment with ultraviolet-ozone on MoS₂ (ref. ¹¹⁴). The 5 × 5 supercell of MoS₂ shown has the most energetically stable adsorption sites for oxygen. **b**, Schematic showing the difference between ALD and PEALD of Al₂O₃ on MoS₂ (ref. ¹¹¹). The first step is the same, in which tetramethyl aluminium (TMA) is pulsed into the chamber. However, in the second step, either water vapour (thermal ALD) or O₂ plasma species (PEALD) are introduced. **c**, Use of a molecular crystal seeding layer (PTCDA) to grow ~2 nm HfO₂ on MoS₂ (ref. ¹¹⁵). **d**, Partial transformation (via oxidation) of semiconducting Bi₂O₂Se to insulating Bi₂SeO₅ with an EOT of ~0.9 nm (ref. ⁷⁶).

addressed the variability in 2D FETs at the chip- and wafer-scale level^{28,82,103,123-125}, as summarized in Supplementary Section 6.

One obvious source of variability in 2D FETs arises from growth defects including the formation of vacancies, multilaver islands and grain boundaries. Vacancies cause unintentional doping and Fermi-level fluctuations within the film and can result in variation in contact resistance^{82,126}. Multilayer islands and grain boundaries cause variations arising from quantum confinement effects, local strain fields and defect/substrate-induced charge transfer¹²⁷. Although bilayer islands do not cause $V_{\rm TH}$ variation^{28,128}, they can cause substantial variation in SS in short-channel devices¹²⁸. Another source of variability comes from physiosorbed and/or chemisorbed impurities at 2D-dielectric interfaces and can cause unintended V_{TH} shift, operational instability, hysteresis and drift in the electrical characteristics²⁹. These organic-inorganic interfacial species can originate from the solvents used in the transfer process, lithography or during high- κ dielectric integration. Clean wafer-scale transfer techniques that can minimize cracks, wrinkles and residues can be useful^{129,130}. Recently, a wafer-to-wafer bonding and debonding scheme was used for the transfer of WS₂ layers grown on 300 mm wafers in a silicon CMOS¹³¹. Post-transfer cleaning using plasma can further aid cleaning and restoration of TMDs²⁰. The adhesion of the transferred film on the target wafer is also important. Finally, for high- κ dielectric integration, using a gate-first process instead of a gate-last process has been shown to reduce variability¹²³.

The coefficient of variance and the correlations of important figures of merit that help identify the root causes of the variations are important metrics to quantify device-to-device variability¹³². To decouple device-to-device variation from process-related variability, difference in $V_{\rm TH}$ (obtained from adjacent devices within the same die) can be analysed across multiple dies^{103,128}. Similarly, Pelgrom plots can be used to study scaling-induced variations. An encouraging recent study using MoS₂ FETs demonstrated slopes in Pelgrom diagrams similar to those in silicon FinFETs¹²⁸. Assessing temporal variation to study the stability of 2D materials is also crucial¹³¹. Variation in $V_{\rm TH}$ ($\sigma_{\rm VTH}$) can be used as a benchmarking metric

and can be projected for a scaled EOT to account for differences in dielectric environments^{28,125}, as shown in Supplementary Section 6.

It appears that 2D material defectivity is likely to be the major issue in controlling wafer-scale device variation and performance. For example, according to scanning tunnelling microscopy studies¹³³ as well as calibration of simulations and mobility data^{134,135}, the mobility of even the best 2D semiconductors is at present limited by point defect densities on the order of 10^{12} cm⁻². The electron mean free path in MoS₂ is only 2–4 nm at room temperature³⁹. When defect densities can be lowered below ~ 10^{11} cm⁻² at the wafer scale, the mobility will be primarily limited by intrinsic and remote dielectric phonons. In this respect, 2D semiconductors appear more forgiving (to defects) than silicon or III–V semiconductors, in part because the intrinsic mobilities are lower already. Nevertheless, more work is needed to understand how 2D transistor variability and defectivity must be tackled to reach their true potential for future VLSI.

Potential applications for 2D FETs in future VLSI

Two-dimensional FETs offer a broad range of potential VLSI applications, including conventional micro/nanoelectronics, 3D integration, hardware for artificial intelligence, sensing and diffusion barrier replacement for copper interconnects, which we will discuss in the following.

Micro/nanoelectronics. Two-dimensional FETs can be used for standard digital logic, analogue circuits and radiofrequency (RF) electronics, as well as active and passive components in various volatile and non-volatile memory devices including static random-access memory (SRAM), dynamic random-access memory (DRAM) and floating-gate (FG) memory.

For digital logic, the IRDS 2028 node requires a switching delay of ~0.78 ps and switching energy of ~0.47 fJ (ref. ⁷⁸). Additionally, the static power must be limited by maintaining I_{OFF} of 10 nA μ m⁻¹ and 100 pA μ m⁻¹ for the HP and LP IRDS nodes, respectively. Two-dimensional FETs demonstrate tremendous potential in matching or even outperforming silicon FinFETs in this context^{136–138}. Beyond a single device, circuit-level demonstrations of 2D

FETs include a microprocessor¹³⁹, an analogue operational amplifier¹⁴⁰ and a SRAM cell¹⁴¹. One key consideration for 2D FET-based VLSI is device–circuit co-optimization¹⁴². For example, the dominant effect of contact resistance can be reduced by reducing interconnect wire dimensions, and the increased power consumption of dual-gated architectures can be reduced by optimizing the backgate overlap¹⁴³.

In addition to helping CMOS logic scaling, 2D transistors can improve memory scaling. Six-transistor (6T) SRAM and its larger version register files are the main memory elements in logic chips. As they are based on logic technology transistors, any scaling, performance and leakage benefits that 2D FETs demonstrate for CMOS would reflect directly on 2D SRAM properties. In fact, SRAM designs based on 2D FETs with a three-tier structure show a substantial increase in memory capacity per unit area for application in future energy-efficient computing systems¹⁴⁴. Two-dimensional FETs are also very interesting options as DRAM access transistors because they can scale better than silicon FETs while maintaining both low leakage and comparable on current¹⁴⁵. Various non-volatile memories, such as FG memories, which are key for retaining large amounts of data in electronic products, can use 2D FETs to replace the low-mobility and poor-SS poly-silicon NAND transistors currently in use. However, this will require the growth of 2D channels under a low thermal budget on sidewalls of very high aspect ratio via holes. Good progress with TMD channel growth on oxide sidewalls has been demonstrated¹⁴⁶, but further improvement in transistor performance and scalability is required. Emerging memories such as Fe-FETs, offering better scaling (only one transistor) and high-speed operation (due to the ferroelectric switching mechanism, unlike the slow FG write speed), can also exploit the 2D channel¹⁴⁷. Beyond memory and logic, 2D FETs can be useful for RF electronics148, hardware security149,150, as well as flexible151 and display¹⁵² electronics.

Three-dimensional integration. Three-dimensional monolithic integration has presented a potential pathway for the future of the semiconducting industry. For the year 2034, table 1 of the IRDS 2020 'More Moore' defines the '07-nm eq node' with a gate length of 12 nm as consisting of four vertically stacked nanosheets with a nanosheet thickness of 5 nm each78. In such a way, electrostatic gate control could be preserved while achieving acceptable on-current levels per footprint. The atomically thin nature of 2D materials enables low tier-to-tier signal delay and easier heat dissipation, providing over 150% higher integration density compared to conventional monolithic 3D integration¹⁵³. Such 2D materials also offer good electrostatic screening and high-frequency electric field screening, which are important for 3D integration. Hence, 3D integrated dual-gated WS₂ FETs have demonstrated potential in meeting the requirement of a 3 nm FinFET node138. Similarly, high drive current has been achieved in 3D multi-channel MoS₂ FETs¹⁵⁴. Additionally, ring oscillator circuits based on a GAA MoS₂ FET show potential in outperforming silicon GAA devices at the IMEC 2-nm node¹⁵⁵. Digital circuit components such as inverters, NAND and NOR components, as well as analogue components such as differential amplifiers, common-source amplifiers and signal mixers, have been demonstrated using 3D monolithic integration of MoS₂ and WSe2 FETs156. Similarly, an all-WSe2 1T1R resistive RAM cell has demonstrated the potential of 2D materials for 3D embedded memory¹⁵⁷. Note that monolithic 3D integration of memory and logic is a promising alternative to meet the growing demand for inand near-memory computing for artificial intelligence and machine learning workloads. Three-dimensional integration can also be used to achieve multifunctional devices in the same chip. For example, a MoS₂ phototransistor array has been integrated on top of a 3D integrated circuit based on a poly-silicon nanowire FET for image sensing applications¹⁵⁸. The fact that 2D materials can be used to

Interconnect. Two-dimensional materials may also find potential applications in interconnect technology. Copper interconnects are required to become more and more compact at each technology node, inevitably causing an increase in the resistance-capacitance (RC) delay in silicon chips. This problem becomes even more severe at ultra-scaled dimensions, because the resistivity of copper rapidly increases with increasing side-wall and grain-boundary scattering¹⁵⁹. Moreover, it is well known that copper can easily diffuse into the surrounding dielectrics, especially under large electric fields, which necessitates the use of diffusion barriers. A bilayer stack consisting of a nitride (TiN or TaN)-based diffusion barrier and refractory metal (Ta or W)-based liner is usually employed. Because these materials are much more resistive than copper, their thicknesses need to be as thin as possible to achieve overall low line resistances. However, these barrier materials lose their ability to block copper diffusion when they are extremely scaled. Accordingly, a subnanometre barrier solution is urgently desired for ultra-scaled interconnects in the near future. It has been shown by both experiments and simulations¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶³ that 2D materials such as graphene, hBN and various TMDs can be effective diffusion barriers for copper. For example, inserting single-layer MoS₂ between a copper electrode and the underlying dielectric substrate substantially improves device reliability and performance¹⁶¹. To realize these 2D materials as potential subnanometre thin barrier solutions for interconnect technology, it is necessary to grow high-quality 2D barriers at BEOL-compatible temperatures. Recent studies have demonstrated the successful conversion of tantalum into a 2D TaS₂ barrier layer at BEOL temperatures, serving as an excellent copper diffusion barrier and adhesion liner to boost the performance of copper interconnects^{164,165}. In addition to the diffusion barrier property, the resistivity of the copper/2D-barrier hybrid system has also been critically examined by directly growing graphene on copper nanowires¹⁶⁰ or depositing ultrathin copper films on MoS₂ (ref. ¹⁶⁶) and TaS₂ films¹⁶⁴. Comparisons of copper resistivity with and without a 2D interface consistently show lower resistivity in scaled copper interconnect devices when 2D interfaces are introduced, which brings substantial value to suppression of the increasing copper resistivity trend in scaled interconnects.

Non-von Neumann computing. Two-dimensional materials also demonstrate potential for post von Neumann computing, such as neuromorphic and biomimetic computing. The physical separation of memory and logic, a key bottleneck of von Neumann computing, can be circumvented through in-memory computing using memristive cross-bar architectures and artificial neural networks167,168. In this context, the recent discoveries of 2D memristive devices that exploit phase-transition¹⁶⁹, vacancy or ion migration¹⁷⁰, movements of grain boundaries¹⁷¹ and dipolar interaction with adsorbed species¹⁷² are promising. Additionally, bio-inspired and biomimetic devices and computing primitives have been demonstrated based on 2D FETs. For example, the auditory cortex of a barn owl can be mimicked using split-gated MoS₂ FETs²⁵, the visual cortex of the human brain can be emulated using a coplanar multi-gate MoS₂ FET¹⁷³ and the escape response of the lobula giant movement detector neuron found in locusts for collision detection can be mimicked using a programmable MoS₂ FET¹⁷⁴. Furthermore, optically active 2D materials allow for the realization of optoelectronic synapses and smart sensors^{167,174,175}. Other neural functionalities such as neurotransmitter release, short- and long-term plasticity, spike-time-dependent plasticity, neural encoding, probabilistic

computing and so on have also been achieved using 2D artificial synapses with substantial energy efficiency^{66,170,176,177}.

Sensors. Two-dimensional materials, by virtue of their high surface-to-volume ratio, are excellent candidates for sensor applications that exploit surface interactions¹⁷⁸. For example, a MoS₂-based pH sensor¹⁷⁹, metal-ion pollutant sensors¹⁸⁰ and glucose sensors¹⁸¹ are some examples of 2D chemical sensors where the target molecules are typically physiosorbed by a van der Waals interaction or chemisorbed into defect sites. Two-dimensional materials can also be decorated with nanoparticles to ensure better electrochemical properties, selectivity and sensitivity^{180,181}. Biomolecules such as dopamine, ascorbic acid, uric acid, nucleic acids (DNA and RNA) and various antigens can also be sensed using 2D materials¹⁸². Such materials are also widely used as gas sensors, which work on the principle of charge transfer between the target molecule and 2D material77. These 2D materials also offer potential in thermoelectric applications, where waste heat generated can be converted to electric power to support Internet of things devices¹⁸³.

Conclusions

We have examined advances in the growth, fabrication and processing of 2D materials, and outlined the key device parameters that should be used to benchmark the performance of 2D FETs, particularly at scaled device dimensions. We have also identified contact resistance, doping, high- κ dielectric integration and device reliability as the major challenges for scaled 2D FETs. We believe that the direct growth of highly crystalline and defect-free 2D TMDs on existing silicon platforms as well as clean and damage-free wafer-scale transfer from growth substrates are important areas for further investigation to aid the incorporation of 2D FETs into future VLSI technologies. FEOL replacement and/or augmentation requires high-performance 2D FETs, but BEOL integration has relaxed requirements, although it still requires low-temperature growth of 2D materials. Another alternative approach is 3D heterogeneous integration. We have highlighted potential applications of 2D FETs in conventional digital, analogue and RF electronics, as well as non-traditional computing, sensing and various forms of volatile and non-volatile memory. We have also highlighted that 2D materials may be useful as diffusion barriers in aggressively scaled copper interconnects.'

Received: 10 January 2021; Accepted: 8 October 2021; Published online: 25 November 2021

References

- Jacob, A. P. et al. Scaling challenges for advanced CMOS devices. Int. J. High Speed Electron. Syst. 26, 1740001 (2017).
- 2. Uchida, K. et al. Experimental study on carrier transport mechanism in ultrathin-body SOI n and p-MOSFETs with SOI thickness less than 5 nm. In *International Electron Devices Meeting Technical Digest* 47–50 (IEEE, 2002).
- 3. Manzeli, S., Ovchinnikov, D., Pasquier, D., Yazyev, O. V. & Kis, A. 2D transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2**, 17033 (2017).
- 4. Akinwande, D. et al. Graphene and two-dimensional materials for silicon technology. *Nature* **573**, 507–518 (2019).
- Chhowalla, M., Jena, D. & Zhang, H. Two-dimensional semiconductors for transistors. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 1, 16052 (2016).
- Schwierz, F., Pezoldt, J. & Granzner, R. Two-dimensional materials and their prospects in transistor electronics. *Nanoscale* 7, 8261–8283 (2015).
- Iannaccone, G., Bonaccorso, F., Colombo, L. & Fiori, G. Quantum engineering of transistors based on 2D materials heterostructures. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 13, 183–191 (2018).
- Liu, Y. et al. Promises and prospects of two-dimensional transistors. *Nature* 591, 43–53 (2021).
- Radisavljevic, B., Radenovic, A., Brivio, J., Giacometti, V. & Kis, A. Single-layer MoS₂ transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 6, 147–150 (2011).
- 10. Kang, K. et al. High-mobility three-atom-thick semiconducting films with wafer-scale homogeneity. *Nature* **520**, 656–660 (2015).

- 11. List of thin film samples available. 2D Crystal Consortium https://www.mri. psu.edu/2d-crystal-consortium/user-facilities/thin-films/list-thin-film-samplesavailable (2021).
- Dumcenco, D. et al. Large-area epitaxial monolayer MoS₂. ACS Nano 9, 4611–4620 (2015).
- Andrieu, F. et al. A review on opportunities brought by 3D-monolithic integration for CMOS device and digital circuit. In *Proc. 2018 International Conference on IC Design & Technology (ICICDT)* 141–144 (IEEE, 2018).
- Kozhakhmetov, A., Torsi, R., Chen, C. Y. & Robinson, J. A. Scalable low-temperature synthesis of two-dimensional materials beyond graphene. *J. Phys. Mater.* 4, 012001 (2020).
- Kozhakhmetov, A. et al. Scalable BEOL compatible 2D tungsten diselenide. 2D Mater. 7, 015029 (2019).
 Ansari, L. et al. Quantum confinement-induced
- semimetal-to-semiconductor evolution in large-area ultra-thin PtSe₂ films grown at 400°C. npj 2D Mater. Appl. 3, 33 (2019).
- Schranghamer, T. F., Sharma, M., Singh, R. & Das, S. Review and comparison of layer transfer methods for two-dimensional materials for emerging applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 50, 11032–11054 (2021).
- Zhang, F., Erb, C., Runkle, L., Zhang, X. & Alem, N. Etchant-free transfer of 2D nanostructures. *Nanotechnology* 29, 025602 (2017).
- Zhuang, B., Li, S., Li, S. & Yin, J. Ways to eliminate PMMA residues on graphene—superclean graphene. *Carbon* 173, 609–636 (2021).
- 20. Marinov, D. et al. Reactive plasma cleaning and restoration of transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* **5**, 17 (2021).
- Nath, A. et al. Achieving clean epitaxial graphene surfaces suitable for device applications by improved lithographic process. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 104, 224102 (2014).
- Lin, D. et al. Dual gate synthetic WS₂ MOSFETs with 120 μS/μm Gm 2.7 μF/cm² capacitance and ambipolar channel. In *Proc. 2020 IEEE* International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) 3.6.1–3.6.4 (IEEE, 2020).
- Zou, X. et al. Interface engineering for high-performance top-gated MoS₂ field-effect transistors. *Adv. Mater.* 26, 6255–6261 (2014).
- Nasr, J. R. & Das, S. Seamless fabrication and threshold engineering in monolayer MoS₂ dual-gated transistors via hydrogen silsesquioxane. *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 5, 1800888 (2019).
- 25. Das, S., Dodda, A. & Das, S. A biomimetic 2D transistor for audiomorphic computing. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3450 (2019).
- Zhao, D.-H. et al. Realizing an omega-shaped gate MoS₂ field-effect transistor based on a SiO₂/MoS₂ core-shell heterostructure. *Mater. Interfaces* 12, 14308–14314 (2020).
- Illarionov, Y. Y. et al. The role of charge trapping in MoS₂/SiO₂ and MoS₂/ hBN field-effect transistors. 2D Mater 3, 035004 (2016).
- Smithe, K. K. H., Suryavanshi, S. V., Muñoz Rojo, M., Tedjarati, A. D. & Pop, E. Low variability in synthetic monolayer MoS₂ devices. ACS Nano 11, 8456–8463 (2017).
- Nasr, J. R., Schulman, D. S., Sebastian, A., Horn, M. W. & Das, S. Mobility deception in nanoscale transistors: an untold contact story. *Adv. Mater.* 31, 1806020 (2019).
- English, C. D., Shine, G., Dorgan, V. E., Saraswat, K. C. & Pop, E. Improved contacts to MoS₂ transistors by ultra-high vacuum metal deposition. *Nano Lett.* 16, 3824–3830 (2016).
- Illarionov, Y. Y. et al. Insulators for 2D nanoelectronics: the gap to bridge. Nat. Commun. 11, 3385 (2020).
- 32. Schulman, D. S., Arnold, A. J. & Das, S. Contact engineering for 2D materials and devices. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **47**, 3037–3058 (2018).
- Das, S., Chen, H. Y., Penumatcha, A. V. & Appenzeller, J. High performance multilayer MoS₂ transistors with scandium contacts. *Nano Lett.* 13, 100–105 (2013).
- Appenzeller, J., Zhang, F., Das, S. & Knoch, J. in 2D Materials for Nanoelectronics Series in Material Science and Engineering (eds Houssa, M. et al.) 207–240 (CRC Press, 2016).
- Sze, S. M. & Ng, K. K. Physics of Semiconductor Devices 3rd edn (Wiley, 2007).
- Taur, Y. et al. Saturation transconductance of deep-submicron-channel MOSFETs. Solid State Electron 36, 1085–1087 (1993).
- Nathawat, J. et al. Transient hot-carrier dynamics and intrinsic velocity saturation in monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 4, 014002 (2020).
- Smithe, K. K., English, C. D., Suryavanshi, S. V. & Pop, E. High-field transport and velocity saturation in synthetic monolayer MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* 18, 4516–4522 (2018).
- Suryavanshi, S. V. & Pop, E. S2DS: physics-based compact model for circuit simulation of two-dimensional semiconductor devices including non-idealities. J. Appl. Phys. 120, 224503 (2016).
- Yalon, E. et al. Energy dissipation in monolayer MoS₂ electronics. *Nano Lett.* 17, 3429–3433 (2017).
- 41. He, G. et al. Negative differential conductance and hot-carrier avalanching in monolayer WS₂ FETs. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 11256 (2017).

NATURE ELECTRONICS

- Gabourie, A. J., Suryavanshi, S. V., Farimani, A. B. & Pop, E. Reduced thermal conductivity of supported and encased monolayer and bilayer MoS₂. 2D Mater. 8, 011001 (2021).
- Islam, S., Li, Z., Dorgan, V. E., Bae, M.-H. & Pop, E. Role of Joule heating on current saturation and transient behavior of graphene transistors. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 34, 166–168 (2013).
- Yamoah, M. A., Yang, W., Pop, E. & Goldhaber-Gordon, D. High-velocity saturation in graphene encapsulated by hexagonal boron nitride. ACS Nano 11, 9914–9919 (2017).
- McClellan, C. J., Yalon, E., Smithe, K. K. H., Suryavanshi, S. V. & Pop, E. High current density in monolayer MoS₂ doped by AlO_x. ACS Nano 15, 1587–1596 (2021).
- Chou, A.-S. et al. High on-state current in chemical vapor deposited monolayer MoS₂ nFETs with Sn ohmic contacts. In *IEEE Electron Device Lett* 42, 272–275 (2021).
- 47. Wang, J. & Lundstrom, M. Ballistic transport in high electron mobility transistors. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **50**, 1604–1609 (2003).
- 48. Nourbakhsh, A. et al. MoS_2 field-effect transistor with sub-10-nm channel length. *Nano Lett.* **16**, 7798–7806 (2016).
- Smithe, K. K., English, C. D., Suryavanshi, S. V. & Pop, E. Intrinsic electrical transport and performance projections of synthetic monolayer MoS₂ devices. 2D Mater. 4, 011009 (2017).
- 50. Daus, A. et al. High-performance flexible nanoscale transistors based on transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Electron.* **4**, 495–501 (2021).
- Patel, K. A., Grady, R. W., Smithe, K. K., Pop, E. & Sordan, R. Ultra-scaled MoS₂ transistors and circuits fabricated without nanolithography. 2D Mater. 7, 015018 (2019).
- 52. McClellan, C. J. et al. 2D device trends. 2D Semiconductor Transistor Trends http://2d.stanford.edu (accessed 1 September 2021).
- 53. Shen, P.-C. et al. Ultralow contact resistance between semimetal and monolayer semiconductors. *Nature* **593**, 211–217 (2021).
- Rai, A. et al. Air stable doping and intrinsic mobility enhancement in monolayer molybdenum disulfide by amorphous titanium suboxide encapsulation. *Nano Lett.* 15, 4329–4336 (2015).
- English, C. D., Smithe, K. K., Xu, R. L. & Pop, E. Approaching ballistic transport in monolayer MoS₂ transistors with self-aligned 10 nm top gates. In *Proc. 2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 5.6.1–5.6.4 (IEEE, 2016).
- Kayyalha, M. & Chen, Y. P. Observation of reduced 1/f noise in graphene field effect transistors on boron nitride substrates. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 107, 10–14 (2015).
- 57. Na, J. et al. Low-frequency noise in multilayer MoS_2 field-effect transistors: the effect of high- κ passivation. *Nanoscale* **6**, 433–441 (2014).
- Fang, N., Nagashio, K. & Toriumi, A. Experimental detection of active defects in few layers MoS₂ through random telegraphic signals analysis observed in its FET characteristics. 2D Mater. 4, 432–433 (2017).
- Stampfer, B. et al. Characterization of single defects in ultrascaled MoS₂ field-effect transistors. ACS Nano 12, 5368–5375 (2018).
- Late, D. J., Liu, B., Matte, H. S. S. R., Dravid, V. P. & Rao, C. N. R. Hysteresis in single-layer MoS₂ field effect transistors. ACS Nano 6, 5635–5641 (2012).
- Vu, Q. A. et al. Near-zero hysteresis and near-ideal subthreshold swing in h-BN encapsulated single-layer MoS₂ field-effect transistors. 2D Mater 5, 031001 (2018).
- 62. Huang, H. et al. Total dose irradiation-induced degradation of hysteresis effect in partially depleted silicon-on-insulator NMOSFETs. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **60**, 1354–1360 (2013).
- 63. Daus, A. et al. Positive charge trapping phenomenon in n-channel thin-film transistors with amorphous alumina gate insulators. *J. Appl. Phys.* **120**, 244501 (2016).
- Datye, I. M. et al. Reduction of hysteresis in MoS₂ transistors using pulsed voltage measurements. 2D Mater. 6, 011004 (2019).
- Illarionov, Y. Y. et al. Ultrathin calcium fluoride insulators for two-dimensional field-effect transistors. *Nat. Electron.* 2, 8–13 (2019).
- Arnold, A. J. et al. Mimicking neurotransmitter release in chemical synapses via hysteresis engineering in MoS₂ transistors. ACS Nano 11, 3110–3118 (2017).
- Pham, T., Li, G., Bekyarova, E., Itkis, M. E. & Mulchandani, A. MoS₂-based optoelectronic gas sensor with sub-parts-per-billion limit of NO₂ gas detection. ACS Nano 13, 3196–3205 (2019).
- Yang, S., Park, S., Jang, S., Kim, H. & Kwon, J. Y. Electrical stability of multilayer MoS₂ field-effect transistor under negative bias stress at various temperatures. *Phys. Status Solidi Rapid Res. Lett.* 8, 714–718 (2014).
- Goyal, N., Parihar, N., Jawa, H., Mahapatra, S. & Lodha, S. Accurate threshold voltage reliability evaluation of thin Al₂O₃ top-gated dielectric black phosphorous FETs using ultrafast measurement pulses. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11, 23673–23680 (2019).
- Palumbo, F. et al. A review on dielectric breakdown in thin dielectrics: silicon dioxide, high-κ, and layered dielectrics. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **30**, 1900657 (2019).

- Hattori, Y., Taniguchi, T., Watanabe, K. & Nagashio, K. Layer-bylayer dielectric breakdown of hexagonal boron nitride. ACS Nano 9, 916–921 (2015).
- 72. Hui, F. et al. Graphene and related materials for resistive random access memories. *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **3**, 1600195 (2017).
- Lee, H. J. et al. Intel 22-nm low-power FinFET (22FFL) process technology for 5G and beyond. In Proc. 2020 IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC) 1–7 (IEEE, 2020); https://doi.org/10.1109/ CICC48029.2020.9075914
- Illarionov, Y. et al. Hot-carrier degradation and bias-temperature instability in single-layer graphene field-effect transistors: similarities and differences. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 62, 3876–3881 (2015).
- 75. Low, C. G. & Zhang, Q. Ultra-thin and flat mica as gate dielectric layers. *Small* **8**, 2178–2183 (2012).
- 76. Li, T. et al. A native oxide high-κ gate dielectric for two-dimensional electronics. *Nat. Electron.* **3**, 473–478 (2020).
- 77. Yang, S., Jiang, C. & Wei, S.-H. Gas sensing in 2D materials. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **4**, 021304 (2017).
- International Roadmap for Devices and Systems (IEEE, 2020); https://irds. ieee.org/editions/2020
- Arnold, A. J., Schulman, D. S. & Das, S. Thickness trends of electron and hole conduction and contact carrier injection in surface charge transfer doped 2D field effect transistors. ACS Nano 14, 13557–13568 (2020).
- 80. Kappera, R. et al. Phase-engineered low-resistance contacts for ultrathin MoS₂ transistors. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 1128–1134 (2014).
- Scott, D. B., Hunter, W. R. & Schichijo, H. A transmission-line model for silicided diffusions—impact on the performance of VLSI circuits. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 29, 651–661 (1982).
- Smets, Q. et al. Ultra-scaled MOCVD MoS₂ MOSFETs with 42nm contact pitch and 250μA/μm drain current. In *Proc. 2019 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 23.2.1–23.2.4 (IEEE, 2019).
- Prakash, A., Ilatikhameneh, H., Wu, P. & Appenzeller, J. Understanding contact gating in Schottky barrier transistors from 2D channels. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 12596 (2017).
- Cheng, Z. et al. Immunity to contact scaling in MoS₂ transistors using in situ edge contacts. *Nano Lett.* 19, 5077–5085 (2019).
- Luo, P. et al. Doping engineering and functionalization of two-dimensional metal chalcogenides. *Nanoscale Horiz.* 4, 26–51 (2019).
- Dolui, K., Rungger, I., Pemmaraju, C. D. & Sanvito, S. Possible doping strategies for MoS₂ monolayers: an ab initio study. *Phys. Rev. B* 88, 075420 (2013).
- 87. Suh, J. et al. Doping against the native propensity of MoS_2 : degenerate hole doping by cation substitution. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 6976–6982 (2014).
- Zhang, K. et al. Tuning the electronic and photonic properties of monolayer MoS₂ via in situ rhenium substitutional doping. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28, 1706950 (2018).
- Zhang, F. et al. Carbon doping of WS₂ monolayers: bandgap reduction and p-type doping transport. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaav5003 (2019).
- Tang, B. et al. Direct n- to p-type channel conversion in monolayer/ few-layer WS₂ field-effect transistors by atomic nitrogen treatment. ACS Nano 12, 2506–2513 (2018).
- Yamamoto, M., Nakaharai, S., Ueno, K. & Tsukagoshi, K. Self-limiting oxides on WSe₂ as controlled surface acceptors and low-resistance hole contacts. *Nano Lett.* 16, 2720–2727 (2016).
- Cai, L. et al. Rapid flame synthesis of atomically thin MoO₃ down to monolayer thickness for effective hole doping of WSe₂. *Nano Lett.* 17, 3854–3861 (2017).
- 93. Chen, K. et al. Air stable n-doping of WSe_2 by silicon nitride thin films with tunable fixed charge density. *APL Mater.* **2**, 092504 (2014).
- Kaasbjerg, K., Thygesen, K. S. & Jacobsen, K. W. Phonon-limited mobility in n-type single-layer MoS₂ from first principles. *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 115317 (2012).
- Chen, J.-H., Jang, C., Xiao, S., Ishigami, M. & Fuhrer, M. S. Intrinsic and extrinsic performance limits of graphene devices on SiO₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 3, 206–209 (2008).
- Zhu, W., Perebeinos, V., Freitag, M. & Avouris, P. Carrier scattering, mobilities and electrostatic potential in monolayer, bilayer and trilayer graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 235402 (2009).
- 97. Qiu, H. et al. Hopping transport through defect-induced localized states in molybdenum disulphide. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2642 (2013).
- Najmaei, S. et al. Tailoring the physical properties of molybdenum disulfide monolayers by control of interfacial chemistry. *Nano Lett.* 14, 1354–1361 (2014).
- 99. Ma, N. & Jena, D. Charge scattering and mobility in atomically thin semiconductors. *Phys. Rev.* **4**, 011043 (2014).
- Cui, X. et al. Multi-terminal transport measurements of MoS₂ using a van der Waals heterostructure device platform. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 10, 534–540 (2015).

REVIEW ARTICLE

- 101. Hosseini, M., Elahi, M., Pourfath, M. & Esseni, D. Strain-induced modulation of electron mobility in single-layer transition metal dichalcogenides MX₂ (M=Mo,W; X=S, Se). *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 62, 3192–3198 (2015).
- Cao, W., Kang, J., Sarkar, D., Liu, W. & Banerjee, K. 2D Semiconductor FETs—projections and design for sub-10-nm VLSI. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 62, 3459–3469 (2015).
- 103. Asselberghs, I. et al. Wafer-scale integration of double gated WS₂-transistors in 300-mm Si CMOS fab. In *Proc. 2020 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 40.2.1–40.2.4 (IEEE, 2020); https://doi.org/10.1109/ iedm13553.2020.9371926
- Chen, M. L. et al. A FinFET with one atomic layer channel. Nat. Commun. 11, 1205 (2020).
- Lo, S. H. & Taur, Y. in *High Dielectric Constant Materials* Vol. 16 (eds Huff, H. & Gilmer, D.) 123–142 (Springer Series in Advanced Microelectronics Springer, 2005); https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-26462-0_5
- Knobloch, T. et al. The performance limits of hexagonal boron nitride as an insulator for scaled CMOS devices based on two-dimensional materials. *Nat. Electron.* 4, 98–108 (2021).
- Mleczko, M. J. et al. HfSe₂ and ZrSe₂: two-dimensional semiconductors with native high-κ oxides. Sci. Adv. 3, e1700481 (2017).
- Azcatl, A. et al. HfO₂ on UV–O₃ exposed transition metal dichalcogenides: interfacial reactions study. 2D Mater. 2, 014004 (2015).
- 109. Yang, W. et al. The integration of sub-10-nm gate oxide on MoS_2 with ultra low leakage and enhanced mobility. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11921 (2015).
- Price, K. M. et al. Plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition of HfO₂ on monolayer, bilayer and trilayer MoS₂ for the integration of high-κ dielectrics in two-dimensional devices. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2, 4085–4094 (2019).
- 111. Price, K. M., Schauble, K. E., McGuire, F. A., Farmer, D. B. & Franklin, A. D. Uniform growth of sub-5-nanometer high-κ dielectrics on MoS₂ using plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 23072–23080 (2017).
- 112. Son, S., Yu, S., Choi, M., Kim, D. & Choi, C. Improved high temperature integration of Al₂O₃ on MoS₂ by using a metal oxide buffer layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 021601 (2015).
- Tselev, A. et al. Near-field microwave microscopy of high-κ oxides grown on graphene with an organic seeding layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 103, 243105 (2013).
- Azcatl, A. et al. MoS₂ functionalization for ultra-thin atomic layer deposited dielectrics. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 111601 (2014).
- Li, W. et al. Uniform and ultrathin high-κ gate dielectrics for two-dimensional electronic devices. Nat. Electron. 2, 563-571 (2019).
- Hui, F. et al. On the use of two dimensional hexagonal boron nitride as dielectric. *Microelectron. Eng.* 163, 119–133 (2016).
- 117. Roy, T. et al. Field-effect transistors built from all two-dimensional material components. ACS Nano 8, 6259–6264 (2014).
- Holler, B. A., Crowley, K., Berger, M. H. & Gao, X. P. A. 2D semiconductor transistors with van der Waals oxide MoO₃ as integrated high-κ gate dielectric. *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 6, 2000635 (2020).
- 119. Jung, Y. et al. Transferred via contacts as a platform for ideal two-dimensional transistors. *Nat. Electron.* **2**, 187–194 (2019).
- Das, S., Gulotty, R., Sumant, A. V. & Roelofs, A. All two-dimensional, flexible, transparent and thinnest thin film transistor. *Nano Lett.* 14, 2861–2866 (2014).
- 121. Pradeep, K. et al. Characterization methodology and physical compact modeling of in-wafer global and local variability. In *Proc. 2018 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 17.1.1–17.1.4 (IEEE, 2018); https://doi.org/10.1109/iedm.2018.8614589
- 122. Bhoir, M. S. et al. Variability sources in nanoscale bulk FinFETs and TiTaN—a promising low variability WFM for 7/5-nm CMOS nodes. In Proc. 2019 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) 36.2.1–36.2.4 (IEEE, 2019); https://doi.org/10.1109/iedm19573.2019.8993660
- 123. Yu, L. et al. Design, modeling and fabrication of chemical vapor deposition grown MoS₂ circuits with E-mode FETs for large-area electronics. *Nano Lett.* 16, 6349–6356 (2016).
- 124. Xu, H. et al. High-performance wafer-scale MoS₂ transistors toward practical application. *Small* 14, e1803465 (2018).
- 125. Sebastian, A., Pendurthi, R., Choudhury, T. H., Redwing, J. M. & Das, S. Benchmarking monolayer MoS₂ and WS₂ field-effect transistors. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 693 (2021).
- 126. McDonnell, S., Addou, R., Buie, C., Wallace, R. M. & Hinkle, C. L. Defect-dominated doping and contact resistance in MoS₂. ACS Nano 8, 2880–2888 (2014).
- 127. Huang, Y. L. et al. Bandgap tunability at single-layer molybdenum disulphide grain boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6298 (2015).
- Smets, Q. et al. Sources of variability in scaled MoS₂ FETs. In *Proc. 2020* IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) 3.1.1–3.1.4 (IEEE, 2020); https://doi.org/10.1109/iedm13553.2020.9371890

- 129. Shim, J. et al. Controlled crack propagation for atomic precision handling of wafer-scale two-dimensional materials. *Science* **362**, 665–670 (2018).
- Quellmalz, A. et al. Large-area integration of two-dimensional materials and their heterostructures by wafer bonding. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 917 (2021).
- Asselberghs, I. et al. Scaled transistors with 2D materials from the 300-mm fab. In Proc. 2020 IEEE Silicon Nanoelectronics Workshop (SNW) 67–68 (IEEE, 2020); https://doi.org/10.1109/snw50361.2020.9131651
- Lanza, M., Smets, Q., Huyghebaert, C. & Li, L. J. Yield, variability, reliability and stability of two-dimensional materials based solid-state electronic devices. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5689 (2020).
- 133. Edelberg, D. et al. Approaching the intrinsic limit in transition metal diselenides via point defect control. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 4371–4379 (2019).
- 134. Khan, A. I. et al. Large temperature coefficient of resistance in atomically thin two-dimensional semiconductors. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **116**, 203105 (2020).
- 135. Lee, Y., Fiore, S. & Luisier, M. Ab initio mobility of single-layer MoS₂ and WS₂: comparison to experiments and impact on the device characteristics. In *Proc. 2019 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 24.4.1-24.4.4 (IEEE, 2019); https://doi.org/10.1109/iedm19573.2019.8993477
- Sylvia, S. S., Alam, K. & Lake, R. K. Uniform benchmarking of low-voltage van der Waals FETs. *IEEE J. Exploratory Solid State Comput. Devices Circ.* 2, 28–35 (2016).
- 137. Lee, C.-S., Cline, B., Sinha, S., Yeric, G. & Wong, H. S. P. 32-bit processor core at 5-nm technology: analysis of transistor and interconnect impact on VLSI system performance. In *Proc. 2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 28.3.1–28.3.4 (IEEE, 2016); https://doi.org/10.1109/ iedm.2016.7838498
- Agarwal, T. et al. Benchmarking of monolithic 3D integrated MX₂ FETs with Si FinFETs. In Proc. 2017 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM) 5.7.1–5.7.4 (2017); https://doi.org/10.1109/iedm.2017.8268336
- Wachter, S., Polyushkin, D. K., Bethge, O. & Mueller, T. A microprocessor based on a two-dimensional semiconductor. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14948 (2017).
- Polyushkin, D. K. et al. Analogue two-dimensional semiconductor electronics. *Nat. Electron.* 3, 486–491 (2020).
- 141. Pang, C.-S., Thakuria, N., Gupta, S. K. & Chen, Z. First demonstration of WSe₂ based CMOS-SRAM. In *Proc. 2018 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM)* 22.2.1–22.2.4 (IEEE, 2018).
- 142. Resta, G. V. et al. Devices and circuits using novel 2-D materials: a perspective for future VLSI systems. *IEEE Trans. Very Large Scale Integr. Syst.* 27, 1486–1503 (2019).
- 143. Verreck, D., Arutchelvan, G., Heyns, M. M. & Radu, I. P. Device and circuit level gate configuration optimization for 2D material field-effect transistors. In Proc. 2019 International Conference on Simulation of Semiconductor Processes and Devices (SISPAD) 1–4 (IEEE, 2019); https://doi.org/10.1109/ sispad.2019.8870506
- 144. Hu, V. P.-H. et al. Energy-efficient monolithic 3-D SRAM cell with BEOL MoS₂ FETs for SoC scaling. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 67, 4216–4221 (2020).
- Kshirsagar, C. U. et al. Dynamic memory cells using MoS₂ field-effect transistors demonstrating femtoampere leakage currents. ACS Nano 10, 8457–8464 (2016).
- 146. McClellan, C. J., Andrew, C. Y., Wang, C.-H., Wong, H.-S. P. & Pop, E. Effective n-type doping of monolayer MoS₂ by AlO_x. In *Proc. 2017 Device Research Conference (DRC)* 65–66 (IEEE, 2017).
- 147. Cha, M.-Y. et al. MoS₂-based ferroelectric field-effect transistor with atomic layer deposited Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ films toward memory applications. *AIP Adv.* 10, 065107 (2020).
- 148. Gao, Q. et al. Scalable high performance radio frequency electronics based on large domain bilayer MoS₂. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4778 (2018).
- Dodda, A. Graphene-based physically unclonable functions that are reconfigurable and resilient to machine learning attacks. *Nat. Electron* 4, 364–374 (2021).
- Wali, A. et al. Satisfiability attack-resistant camouflaged two-dimensional heterostructure devices. ACS Nano 15, 3453–3467 (2021).
- 151. Kim, S. J., Choi, K., Lee, B., Kim, Y. & Hong, B. H. Materials for flexible, stretchable electronics: graphene and 2D materials. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 45, 63–84 (2015).
- 152. Choi, M. et al. Full-color active-matrix organic light-emitting diode display on human skin based on a large-area MoS₂ backplane. *Sci. Adv.* 6, eabb5898 (2020).
- Jiang, J., Parto, K., Cao, W. & Banerjee, K. Ultimate monolithic-3D integration with 2D materials: rationale, prospects and challenges. *IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc.* 7, 878–887 (2019).
- 154. Zhou, R. & Appenzeller, J. Three-dimensional integration of multi-channel MoS₂ devices for high drive current FETs. In *Proc. 2018 76th Device Research Conference (DRC)* 1–2 (IEEE, 2018); https://doi.org/10.1109/ drc.2018.8442137
- 155. Arutchelvan, G. et al. Impact of device scaling on the electrical properties of MoS₂ field-effect transistors. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 6610 (2021).

NATURE ELECTRONICS

NATURE ELECTRONICS

- Sachid, A. B. et al. Monolithic 3D CMOS using layered semiconductors. Adv. Mater. 28, 2547–2554 (2016).
- Sivan, M. et al. All WSe₂ 1T1R resistive RAM cell for future monolithic 3D embedded memory integration. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 5201 (2019).
- 158. Yang, C. C. et al. Enabling monolithic 3D image sensor using large-area monolayer transition metal dichalcogenide and logic/memory hybrid 3D⁺IC. In Proc. 2016 IEEE Symposium on VLSI Technology 1–2 (IEEE, 2016); https://doi.org/10.1109/VLSIT.2016.7573448
- 159. Gall, D. The search for the most conductive metal for narrow interconnect lines. J. Appl. Phys. 127, 050901 (2020).
- Mehta, R., Chugh, S. & Chen, Z. Enhanced electrical and thermal conduction in graphene-encapsulated copper nanowires. *Nano Lett.* 15, 2024–2030 (2015).
- Lo, C.-L. et al. Studies of two-dimensional h-BN and MoS₂ for potential diffusion barrier application in copper interconnect technology. *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* 1, 42 (2017).
- 162. Lo, C.-L. et al. Large-area, single-layer molybdenum disulfide synthesized at BEOL compatible temperature as Cu diffusion barrier. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 39, 873–876 (2018).
- 163. Helfrecht, B. A., Guzman, D. M., Onofrio, N. & Strachan, A. H. Interactions between copper and transition metal dichalcogenides: a density functional theory study. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 1, 034001 (2017).
- 164. Lo, C. L. et al. Enhancing interconnect reliability and performance by converting tantalum to 2D layered tantalum sulfide at low temperature. *Adv. Mater.* **31**, 1902397 (2019).
- Lo, C.-L. et al. Opportunities and challenges of 2D materials in back-end-of-line interconnect scaling. J. Appl. Phys. 128, 080903 (2020).
- 166. Shen, T. et al. MoS₂ for enhanced electrical performance of ultrathin copper films. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11, 28345–28351 (2019).
- Mennel, L. et al. Ultrafast machine vision with 2D material neural network image sensors. *Nature* 579, 62–66 (2020).
- Ielmini, D. & Wong, H.-S. P. In-memory computing with resistive switching devices. *Nat. Electron.* 1, 333–343 (2018).
- Zhang, F. et al. Electric-field induced structural transition in vertical MoTe₂- and Mo_{1-x}W_xTe₂-based resistive memories. *Nat. Mater.* 18, 55–61 (2019).
- Zhao, H. et al. Atomically thin femtojoule memristive device. Adv. Mater. 29, 1703232 (2017).
- 171. Sangwan, V. K. et al. Multi-terminal memtransistors from polycrystalline monolayer molybdenum disulfide. *Nature* **554**, 500–504 (2018).
- Schranghamer, T. F., Oberoi, A. & Das, S. Graphene memristive synapses for high precision neuromorphic computing. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5474 (2020).
- Xie, D. et al. Coplanar multigate MoS₂ electric-double-layer transistors for neuromorphic visual recognition. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10, 25943–25948 (2018).
- Jayachandran, D. et al. A low-power biomimetic collision detector based on an in-memory molybdenum disulfide photodetector. *Nat. Electron.* 3, 646–655 (2020).
- 175. Dodda, A. et al. Stochastic resonance in MoS₂ photodetector. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4406 (2020).
- Sebastian, A., Pannone, A., Subbulakshmi Radhakrishnan, S. & Das, S. Gaussian synapses for probabilistic neural networks. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4199 (2019).
- 177. Radhakrishnan, S. S., Sebastian, A., Oberoi, A., Das, S. & Das, S. A biomimetic neural encoder for spiking neural network. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 2143 (2021).
- Jha, R. K. & Bhat, N. Recent progress in chemiresistive gas sensing technology based on molybdenum and tungsten chalcogenide nanostructures. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 7, 1901992 (2020).
- Sarkar, D. et al. MoS₂ field-effect transistor for next-generation label-free biosensors. ACS Nano 8, 3992–4003 (2014).
- Cui, X. et al. Low-temperature ohmic contact to monolayer MoS₂ by van der Waals bonded Co/h-BN electrodes. *Nano Lett.* 17, 4781–4786 (2017).
- 181. Parlak, O., Incel, A., Uzun, L., Turner, A. P. F. & Tiwari, A. Structuring Au nanoparticles on two-dimensional MoS₂ nanosheets for electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 89, 545–550 (2017).
- 182. Nam, H. et al. Fabrication and comparison of MoS₂ and WSe₂ field-effect transistor biosensors. *J. Vacuum Sci. Technol. B* **33**, 06FG01 (2015).
- Oh, J. Y. et al. Chemically exfoliated transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheet-based wearable thermoelectric generators. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 1696–1705 (2016).
- Suryavanshi, S. V., Gabourie, A. J., Barati Farimani, A. & Pop, E. Thermal boundary conductance of two-dimensional MoS₂ interfaces. *J. Appl. Phys.* 126, 055107 (2019).

- Illarionov, Y. Y. et al. Improved hysteresis and reliability of MoS₂ FETs with high-quality CVD growth and Al₂O₃ encapsulation. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 38, 1763–1766 (2017).
- 186. Lee, C. et al. Comparison of trapped charges and hysteresis behavior in hBN encapsulated single MoS₂ flake based field effect transistors on SiO₂ and hBN substrates. *Nanotechnology* **29**, 335202 (2018).
- 187. Li, C. et al. Rapid four-point sweeping method to investigate hysteresis of MoS₂ FET. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **41**, 1356–1359 (2020).
- Cho, A. J. et al. Multi-layer MoS₂ FET with small hysteresis by using atomic layer deposition Al₂O₃ as gate insulator. ECS Solid State Lett. 3, Q67–Q69 (2014).
- 189. Oliva, N. et al. Hysteresis dynamics in double-gated n-type WSe₂ FETs with high-κ top gate dielectric. *IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc.* 7, 1163–1169 (2019).
- 190. Grasser, T. et al. Analytic modeling of the bias temperature instability using capture/emission time maps. In *Proc. 2011 International Electron Devices Meeting* 27.4.1–27.4.4 (IEEE, 2011); https://doi.org/10.1109/ IEDM.2011.6131624
- Suzuki, K., Tetsu, T., Yoshiharu, T., Hiroshi, H. & Yoshihiro, A. Scaling theory for double-gate SOI MOSFETs. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 40, 2326–2329 (1993).
- 192. Frank, D. J., Yuan, T. & Wong, H. S. P. Generalized scale length for two-dimensional effects in MOSFETs. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 19, 385–387 (1998).
- Pandey, N., Lin, H.-H., Nandi, A. & Taur, Y. Modeling of short-channel effects in DG MOSFETs: Green's function method versus scale length model. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 65, 3112–3119 (2018).
- Robertson, J. High dielectric constant gate oxides for metal oxide Si transistors. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 69, 327 (2005).
- 195. Fischetti, M. V., Neumayer, D. A. & Cartier, E. A. Effective electron mobility in Si inversion layers in metal-oxide-semiconductor systems with a high-κ insulator: the role of remote phonon scattering. J. Appl. Phys. 90, 4587–4608 (2001).
- 196. Cao, Q. et al. Origins and characteristics of the threshold voltage variability of quasiballistic single-walled carbon nanotube field-effect transistors. ACS Nano 9, 1936–1944 (2015).
- 197. Franklin, A. D. et al. Carbon nanotube complementary wrap-gate transistors. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 2490–2495 (2013).
- Stathis, J. H. Reliability limits for the gate insulator in CMOS technology. IBM J. Res. Dev. 46, 265–286 (2002).

Acknowledgements

The work of S.D. was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) through a CAREER Award under grant no. ECCS-2042154. A.D.F. acknowledges funding support from NSF ECCS-1915814. W.Z. acknowledges funding support from Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC) under grant SRC 2021-LM-3042 and National Science Foundation under grant ECCS 16-53241 CAR. R.S. acknowledges Nanoscale Research Facility (NRF), IIT Delhi, and the Ministry of Education (MoE) for partial funding supportfrom the Grand Challenge Project 'MBE Growth of 2D Materials' under grant number MI01800G. T.G., T.K. and Y.I. thank the financial support through FWF grants 12606-N30 and I4123-N30. Y.I. also acknowledges the support by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (project 075-15-2020-790) and the Take-off programme of the Austrian Research Promotion Agency FFG (projects 867414 and 861022).

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41928-021-00670-1.

Correspondence should be addressed to Saptarshi Das.

Peer review information *Nature Electronics* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2021

REVIEW ARTICLE